Global Aerospace (North America), Inc. et al v. Skyview Aviation LLC et al

Doc. 9

- 3. On or about January 23, 2017, Plaintiffs electronically filed their Complaint in the above-entitled matter.
- 4. On or about April 22, 2017, service of process was affected upon Defendant Skyview Aviation LLC.
- 5. Pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 15, Defendant Skyview Aviation LLC's responsive pleading to the Complaint was initially due to be filed and served on or before May 12, 2017.
- 6. On May 5, 2017, counsel for Plaintiffs and Defendant Skyview Aviation stipulated to extend Defendant Skyview Aviation's time to respond to the Complaint to and including June 9, 2017, an additional twenty-eight (28) days from the original May 12, 2017 response date, as provided for in Local Rule 144.
- 7. On June 8, 2017, the Court issued an Order on Stipulation for Extension of Time to Respond to Complaint, extending extend Defendant Skyview Aviation's time to respond to the Complaint to and including July 10, 2017.
- 8. The parties are continuing to actively pursue settlement discussions in this case and would like an additional seven (7) days to continue these discussions, prior to Defendant Skyview Aviation having to file its responsive pleading.
- 9. Subject to the Court's approval, the parties hereto stipulate and agree that Defendant Skyview Aviation's time to file and serve its responsive pleading shall be extended an additional seven (7) days from the current July 10, 2017 response date, and that Defendant's responsive pleading will be due to be filed and served on or before July 17, 2017.
- 10. The parties agree that this additional extension of time will best serve the parties' interests by allowing them additional time to pursue settlement negotiations.
- 11. Pursuant to Local Rule 144, the parties request that the Court issue the proposed order on this stipulation of the parties, in order to allow the parties time to continue their on-going settlement discussions.

1	CITE	
2	<u>STIPULATION</u>	
3	The parties do now hereby agree and stipulate as follows:	
4	1. The time for Defendant Skyview Aviation LLC to file and serve its responsive pleading	
5	to the Complaint is extended to and including July 17, 2017, an additional seven (7) day	
6	from the current July 10, 2017 res	sponse date.
7	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
8		BRYAN CAVE LLP
9	Dated: July 7, 2017	By: /S/ Dylan S. Kornbluth Shalem A. Massey, Esq.
1011		Dylan S. Kornbluth, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiffs, GLOBAL AEROSPACE (NORTH AMERICA), INC., a
12		Delaware Corporation and CIRRUS DESIGN CORPORATION dba CIRRUS AIRCRAFT, a
13		Minnesota Corporation
14	Dated: July 7, 2017	DONAHUE DAVIES LLP
15		By: /S/ Robert E. Davies Robert E. Davies
16		Stephen J. Mackey, Esq.
17		Mary A. Stewart, Esq. Gregory A. Nelson, Esq.
18		Attorneys for Defendant, SKYVIEW AVIATION LLC
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

ORDER ON STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT

Pursuant to the foregoing Stipulation of the parties for extension of time for Defendant Skyview Aviation to respond to Complaint, and as provided for in Local Rule 144, it is hereby ordered that:

The time for Defendant Skyview Aviation LLC to file and serve its responsive pleading to the Complaint is extended to and including July 17, 2017, an additional seven (7) days from the current July 10, 2017 response date.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 7, 2017

/s/ John A. Mendez

John A. Mendez

United States District Court Judge