1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9			
10	KIM EDWARD ROGERS,	No. 2:17-cv-00149-JAM-GGH	
11	Plaintiff,		
12	V.	<u>ORDER</u>	
13	M. RICHARD, CHP Captain Commander, et al.,		
14	Defendants.		
15			
16	Plaintiff is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights matter. On October		
17	10, 2017 the magistrate issued Findings and Recommendations that Respondent's Motion to		
18	Dismissed be granted with leave to amend claims pleaded under 42 U.S.C. section 2000e and 28		
19	U.S.C. section 1983 pursuant to the instructions given in the magistrate's Order. ECF No. 43.		
20	The parties were granted fourteen (14) days to object. No objections have been filed.		
21	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304,		
22	this court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having reviewed the file, and the		
23	magistrate judge's findings and recommendations, the court ADOPTS the findings and		
24	recommendations.		
25	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:		
26	1. Respondent's Motion to Dismiss is GRANTED with leave to amend claims		
27	pleaded under 42 U.S.C. section 2000e and 28 U.S.C. section 1983;		
28	1		
		•	

1	12.Respondent's Motion to dismiss	is GRANTED without leave to amend as to all	
2	other claims pleaded;		
3	3 3. The class action allegations of th	e Complaint are stricken without leave to replead.	
4	4 IT IS SO ORDERED.		
5	5		
6			
7	7	John A. Mendez	
8	8	IITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	
9	9		
10	0		
11	1		
12	2		
13	3		
14	4		
15	5		
16	6		
17	7		
18	8		
19	9		
20	0		
21	1		
22	2		
23	3		
24	4		
25	5		
26	6		
27	7		
28	8		
		2	