1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	DANIEL KANE,	No. 2:17-cv-0150 MCE CKD PS	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	v.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
14	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,		
15	Defendants.		
16	Defendants.		
17			
18	By order filed January 26, 2017, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed and thirty days leave		
19	to file an amended complaint was granted. The thirty day period has now expired, and plaintiff		
20	has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order.		
21	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without		
22	prejudice. <u>See</u> Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).		
23	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge		
24	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days		
25	after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written		
26	objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned		
27	"Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Failure to file objections		
28	/////		

1	within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v.		
2	Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).		
3		Carop U. Delany	
4		ROLYN K. DELANEY	
5		IITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
6	4 kane0150.fta		
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
	II		