

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SADAT LATOUR JOHNSON,
Petitioner,
v.
KATE POWELL SERGERSTROM, et al.,
Respondents.

No. 2:17-cv-0201 GGH P

ORDER AND FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS

A recent court order was served on petitioner’s address of record and returned by the postal service. It appears that petitioner has failed to comply with Local Rule 182(f), which requires that a party appearing in propria persona inform the court of any address change. More than sixty-three days have passed since the court order was returned by the postal service and petitioner has failed to notify the Court of a current address.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of Court shall randomly assign a district judge to this action.

Additionally, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice for failure to prosecute. See Local Rule 183(b).

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written

1 objections with the court. The document should be captioned “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s
2 Findings and Recommendations.” Any response to the objections shall be filed and served within
3 fourteen days after service of the objections. The parties are advised that failure to file objections
4 within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court’s order. Martinez v.
5 Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

6 Dated: March 10, 2017

7 /s/ Gregory G. Hollows
8 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

9
10 /kly
11 john0201.133a

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28