

1	On April 3, 2017, plaintiff filed objections to the evidentiary hearing. (ECF No. 33.)
2	Plaintiff essentially objects to this case proceeding before the undersigned, because plaintiff did
3	not consent to the jurisdiction of a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c).
4	Plaintiff's objections are without merit, because this matter does not proceed before the
5	undersigned as a consent case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c). As the court has already explained,
6	any final case dispositive orders (including those that relate to motions for a TRO and a
7	preliminary injunction) will be entered by the assigned district judge. Additionally, any final
8	pretrial conference and trial ultimately conducted in this matter would take place before the
9	assigned district judge. Nevertheless, all other proceedings will be handled by the undersigned
10	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Rule 302(c)(21), with issuance of orders relating to
11	non-case dispositive matters and issuance of findings and recommendations to the district judge
12	with respect to case dispositive matters. In regards to the latter, plaintiff will also have an
13	opportunity to file any objections to the findings and recommendations, which will be considered
14	by the district judge prior to entry of any final order.
15	Therefore, plaintiff's objections are overruled. <sup>1</sup>
16	On April 4, 2017, defendants unilaterally filed a status report responding to the topics
17	identified in the court's March 28, 2017 order. (ECF No. 34.) The status report outlines various
18	efforts that were made to secure plaintiff's cooperation with respect to scheduling issues and
19	preparing a joint status report, but were ultimately unsuccessful. (Id.) It appears that plaintiff
20	likely failed to cooperate with defendant in light of his belief that the undersigned lacks the
21	authority to conduct proceedings in this case. In light of plaintiff's pro se status, the court
22	declines to impose monetary sanctions at this juncture. Nevertheless, the court notes that future
23	<sup>1</sup> Additionally, contrary to plaintiff's suggestion in the objections, there are no adverse
24	consequences for failure to consent to the jurisdiction of a United States Magistrate Judge. Such
25	consent is entirely voluntary, and the undersigned never draws any adverse inference from any litigant declining to consent to such jurisdiction. The court, as it must, faithfully and impartially
26	resolves the merits of any dispute before it based solely on the applicable law, which it has sworn to uphold. Although the court, based on the record, has raised concerns regarding potential lack
27	of standing or subject matter jurisdiction, the court does not pre-judge any issue, and the very

of standing or subject matter jurisdiction, the court does not pre-judge any issue, and the very purpose of the evidentiary hearing is to permit the parties to present their evidence, which the court will consider with an open mind.

failure to comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the court's Local Rules, and the
 court's orders may result in the imposition of sanctions. Additionally, given that plaintiff failed
 to cooperate with scheduling of the evidentiary hearing, the hearing will be scheduled at
 defendants' and the court's convenience.

Finally, the court notes that, even if plaintiff strongly disagrees with the court's orders, the 5 6 court must apply the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the court's Local Rules, and cannot 7 permit plaintiff's subjective beliefs to govern the case. As such, the court strongly encourages 8 plaintiff to cooperate with the preparation and conduct of the evidentiary hearing, as outlined 9 specifically below. Plaintiff is cautioned that, because he has the burden of establishing standing 10 and subject matter jurisdiction, *failure to cooperate with preparation for the evidentiary* 11 hearing and/or failure to appear at the evidentiary hearing will result in a recommendation 12 that the action be dismissed for lack of standing and subject matter jurisdiction. 13 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 14 1. Plaintiff's objections (ECF No. 33) are OVERRULED. 15 2. An evidentiary hearing is scheduled for May 22, 2017, at 9:00 a.m., in Courtroom No. 16 25 before Judge Newman. The hearing is estimated to take a maximum of one (1) 17 day. 18 3. The scope of the hearing shall be strictly limited to the following two issues: 19 (a) whether plaintiff signed the November 1, 2002 note and is thus a borrower for 20 purposes of the loan at issue, or whether plaintiff has otherwise assumed the loan; and 21 (2) regardless of whether plaintiff is a borrower or has assumed the loan, whether 22 plaintiff has paid off the loan in its entirety. At the hearing, the parties will be

permitted to offer documentary evidence and oral testimony from witnesses relevant to those issues only.

## 4. No later than May 8, 2017, at 5:00 p.m., the parties shall file a joint list of witnesses and exhibits proposed to be offered/introduced at the hearing. For each witness, the parties shall briefly outline the subject matter of the proposed witness's testimony. The court expects the parties to reasonably cooperate and reach appropriate

23

24

1	stipulations with respect to the authenticity and admissibility of exhibits and evidence
2	at the hearing. Any such stipulations shall also be outlined in the parties' joint list of
3	witnesses and exhibits. To the extent that the parties genuinely disagree as to the
4	admissibility of a particular item of evidence, the parties shall nonetheless list the item
5	of evidence and indicate the basis of the parties' dispute. The parties are
6	CAUTIONED that any witnesses or exhibits not listed in the parties' joint list of
7	witnesses and exhibits will not be admitted and considered at the hearing. <sup>2</sup>
8	5. No later than May 8, 2017, at 5:00 p.m., each party may file briefing, not exceeding 10
9	pages, addressing the applicable law, the burden of proof, what each party expects the
10	evidence at the hearing to show as to the specific issues to be addressed at the
11	evidentiary hearing, any evidentiary disputes likely to be encountered at the hearing,
12	and any other matters that will promote the just and efficient conduct of the
13	evidentiary hearing. No further briefing will be permitted, unless specifically
14	requested by the court.
15	IT IS SO ORDERED.
16	Dated: April 5, 2017
17	Ferdall & Newman
18	KENDALL J. NEWMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	<sup>2</sup> Because defendants' counsel will be tasked with electronically filing the parties' joint list of witnesses and exhibits, the parties are directed to meet and confer about preparation of the joint
26	list well in advance of the deadline, and plaintiff shall provide his portions to defendants' counsel with sufficient time for defendants' counsel to incorporate them and file the joint list by the
27	required deadline. As noted above, any witnesses or exhibits not listed on the joint list by May 8, 2017, at 5:00 p.m., will not be admitted at the hearing.
28	2017, at 5.00 p.m., will not be admitted at the hearing.
	1