8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
CALYSTA SHARP, et al.,	No. 2:17-0254 TLN CKD PS
Plaintiffs,	
v.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
CHAS HOWARD, et al.,	
Defendants.	
In this action, plaintiffs, proceeding pro se, allege diversity and federal question as bases	
18 for subject matter jurisdiction in this court. However, plaintiffs cite no federal statute or	
constitutional provision which can serve as the basis of federal question jurisdiction and the	
complaint does not set forth the amount in controversy for assessing the propriety of diversity	
jurisdiction. Plaintiffs were accordingly ordered to show cause why this action should not be	
dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.	
Plaintiffs have not filed a response to the order to show cause. There appears to be no	
federal question subject matter jurisdiction. It also appears that diversity jurisdiction is lacking	
because no amount in controversy is evident in the complaint.	
Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed for lack of	
27 subject matter jurisdiction.	
////	
	CALYSTA SHARP, et al.,  Plaintiffs,  V.  CHAS HOWARD, et al.,  Defendants.  In this action, plaintiffs, proceeding p for subject matter jurisdiction in this court. If constitutional provision which can serve as the complaint does not set forth the amount in conjurisdiction. Plaintiffs were accordingly order dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.  Plaintiffs have not filed a response to federal question subject matter jurisdiction.  because no amount in controversy is evident  Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOM subject matter jurisdiction.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

Dated: March 7, 2017

CAROLYN K. DELANEY

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

4 sharp0254.nosmj.57