

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PAUL LOUIS BLANK,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
ROCKLIN POLICE DEPARTMENT,  
Defendant.

No. 2:17-cv-0265 MCE DB PS

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS


Plaintiff, Paul Blank, is proceeding in this action pro se. This matter was referred to the undersigned in accordance with Local Rule 302(c)(21) and 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). By order filed May 3, 2017, plaintiff’s complaint was dismissed and plaintiff was granted leave to file an amended complaint. (ECF No. 3.) Plaintiff was granted twenty-eight days from the date of that order to file an amended complaint. The twenty-eight day period has expired, and plaintiff has not responded to the court’s order in any manner.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

These findings and recommendations will be submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen (14) days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court. A document containing objections should be titled “Objections to

1 Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file  
2 objections within the specified time may, under certain circumstances, waive the right to appeal  
3 the District Court's order. See Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

4 Dated: June 22, 2017

5  
6   
7 DEBORAH BARNES  
8 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

24 DLB:6  
25 DB/orders/orders.pro se/blank0265.fta.f&rs  
26  
27  
28