

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 VINCENT ANTHONY CALLENDER,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 J. RAMM, et al.,

15 Defendants.
16

1:17-cv-00148-MJS (PC)

**ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE
SACRAMENTO DIVISION OF THE
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

17 Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant
18 to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to
19 28 U.S.C. § 1915.

20 In his complaint, Plaintiff alleges violations of his civil rights by Defendants. The
21 alleged violations took place in Amador County, which is part of the Sacramento Division
22 of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California. Therefore, the
23 complaint should have been filed in the Sacramento Division.

24 Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in
25 the proper court may, on the court's own motion, be transferred to the proper court.
26 Therefore, this action will be transferred to the Sacramento Division. This Court will not
27 rule on Plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis.
28

Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1 1. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern
2 District of California sitting in Sacramento; and

3 2. All future filings shall refer to the new Sacramento case number assigned and
4 shall be filed at:

5 United States District Court
6 Eastern District of California
7 501 "I" Street, Suite 4-200
8 Sacramento, CA 95814

9 3. This Court has not ruled on Plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis.

10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11 Dated: February 8, 2017

12 /s/ Michael J. Seng
13 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28