1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 PAUL LOUIS BLANK, No. 2:17-cv-00300 TLN GGH Plaintiff, 12 13 v. FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 14 SACRAMENTO COUNTY SHERIFF, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 Plaintiff, acting in propria persona, filed his complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 on 18 February 13, 2017. ECF No. 1. On February 23, 2017 the Magistrate Judge issued and Order 19 dismissing the complaint without prejudice, i.e., dismissed with leave to amend, and providing 20 instructions regarding the necessary content for any amended complaint plaintiff might file. ECF 21 No. 3. In that Order the Magistrate Judge cautioned plaintiff that a failure to so file within 22 twenty-one (21) days might result in a recommendation that his complaint be dismissed with 23 prejudice. 24 Plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint. The mailed copy of the foregoing order was 25 returned to the court as undeliverable on March 7, 2017. Pursuant to Eastern District of 26 California Local Rule 183(b), a person appearing in propria persona has a duty to keep the Court 27 advised of his current address within sixty-three (63) days of any change. Further, the Rule 28 1

provides that failure to comply permits the Court to dismiss the action without prejudice for failure to prosecute if mail sent to the provided address is returned to the Clerk by the U.S. Postal Service, as has occurred here. IT IS THEREFORE RECOMMENDED THAT: 1. Plaintiff's action be dismissed with prejudice for failure to prosecute; 2. Judgment be entered against Plaintiff. These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, Plaintiff may file written objections with the court. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Amended Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is warned that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive his right to appeal the District Court's order. Turner v. Duncan, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir.1998); Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153, 1156-57 (9th Cir. 1991). Dated: May 26, 2017 /s/ Gregory G. Hollows UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE