1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 LUIS MANUEL GARCES, No. 2:17-cv-0319 JAM AC P 12 Plaintiff. 13 **ORDER** v. 14 J. PICKETT, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a civil rights action pursuant to 42 18 U.S.C. § 1983. Currently before the court are plaintiff's motion for a court order (ECF No. 45), 19 request for the record of service (ECF No. 46), and motion for an extension of time to respond to 20 defendants' answer (ECF No. 49). 21 I. Plaintiff's Motions 22 On February 4, 2019, the court received plaintiff's motion for a court order directing 23 defendants to reply to his complaint and request that he be provided with a copy of the proof of 24 service. ECF Nos. 45, 46. Defendants were sent a request to waive service on December 7, 25 2018, and waived service on January 11, 2019. ECF No. 48. Their responsive pleading, filed on 26 February 5, 2019, was therefore timely. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(d)(3) (defendants who waive 27 service have sixty days from the date the request for waiver was sent to respond to the complaint). 28 1

2
3
4

 $^{1}\,$ If the case does not settle, the court will issue a discovery and scheduling order.

Accordingly, plaintiff's motion will be denied because it was premature when filed and is now moot. Plaintiff's request for a copy of the proof of service, however, will be granted and the Clerk of the Court will be directed to provided him a copy of the waivers of service.

Plaintiff has also filed a motion for extension of time to file a response to defendants' answer. ECF No. 49. Although plaintiff was not given leave to reply to the answer, making an extension of time unnecessary, he filed his opposition shortly after seeking the extension (EFC No. 52), and the motion will therefore be denied as moot.

II. Referring Case to Post-Screening ADR Project and Staying Case for 120 days

As noted above, defendants have answered the complaint. The undersigned is referring all post-screening civil rights cases filed by pro se inmates to the Post-Screening ADR (Alternative Dispute Resolution) Project in an effort to resolve such cases more expeditiously and less expensively. No defenses or objections shall be waived by participation.

As set forth in the screening order, plaintiff has stated a potentially cognizable civil rights claim. Accordingly, the court stays this action for a period of 120 days to allow the parties to investigate plaintiff's claims, meet and confer, and then participate in a settlement conference.

There is a presumption that all post-screening prisoner civil rights cases assigned to the undersigned will proceed to settlement conference.¹ However, if after investigating plaintiff's claims and speaking with plaintiff, and after conferring with defense counsel's supervisor, defense counsel in good faith finds that a settlement conference would be a waste of resources, defense counsel may move to opt out of this pilot project.

By filing the attached notice within thirty days, plaintiff shall indicate his preference to appear in person or by videoconference, if available. Failure to timely file such notice will result in the issuance of a writ for plaintiff's appearance in person. Once plaintiff has returned the notice or the time for doing so has passed, the court will proceed to schedule the settlement conference.

////

Once the settlement conference is scheduled, at least seven days prior to the conference, each party shall submit to the settlement judge a confidential settlement conference statement. The parties' confidential settlement conference statements shall include the following: (a) names and locations of the parties; (b) a short statement of the facts and alleged damages; (c) a short procedural history; (d) an analysis of the risk of liability, including a discussion of the efforts made to investigate the allegations; and (e) a discussion of the efforts that have been made to settle the case. Defendant shall e-mail the settlement conference statement to the settlement judge's e-mail box for proposed orders, available on the court's website. Plaintiff shall place his settlement conference statement in the U.S. mail addressed to the settlement conference judge, United States District Court, 501 I Street, Sacramento, CA 95814. Plaintiff shall mail his settlement conference statement so that it is received by the court at least seven days before the settlement conference.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Plaintiff's motion for a court order directing defendants to respond to the compliant (ECF No. 45) and motion for extension of time to file his opposition to the answer (ECF No. 49) are denied as moot.
- 2. Plaintiff's request for a copy of the proof of service (ECF No. 46) is granted and the Clerk of the Court is directed to send plaintiff a copy of the waivers of service (ECF No. 48).
- 3. This action is stayed for 120 days to allow the parties an opportunity to settle their dispute before the discovery process begins. Except as provided herein or by subsequent court order, no other pleadings or other documents may be filed in this case during the stay of this action. The parties shall not engage in formal discovery, but the parties may elect to engage in informal discovery.
- 4. Within thirty days from the date of this order, plaintiff shall file the attached notice informing the court how he wishes to appear at the settlement conference. If plaintiff does not file the notice, the court will issue a writ for plaintiff to appear in person.
- 5. At least seven days prior to the settlement conference, each party shall submit a confidential settlement conference statement, as described above, to the settlement judge.

1	Defendant shall e-mail the settlement conference statement to the settlement judge's proposed		
2	orders e-mail address. Plaintiff shall place his settlement conference statement in the U.S. mail		
3	addressed to the settlement judge, United States District Court, 501 I Street, Sacramento, CA		
4	95814. Plaintiff shall mail his settlement conference statement so that it is received by the court		
5	at least seven days before the settlement conference.		
6	6. If a settlement is reached at any point during the stay of this action, the parties shall		
7	file a Notice of Settlement in accordance with Local Rule 160.		
8	7. The parties remain obligated to keep the court informed of their current addresses at		
9	all times during the stay and while the action is pending. Any change of address must be reported		
10	promptly to the court in a separate document captioned for this case and entitled "Notice of		
11	Change of Address." See L.R. 182(f).		
12	DATED: March 19, 2019		
13	Allison Claire		
14	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	LUIS MANUEL GARCES,	No. 2:17-cv-0319 JAM AC P	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	v.	NOTICE RE: PLAINTIFF'S APPEARANCE AT SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE (POST-	
14	J. PICKETT, et al.,	SCREENING ADR PROJECT)	
15	Defendants.		
16		J	
17	As required by court order, the plaintiff notifies the court of the following election:		
18			
19	Plaintiff would like to participate in the settlement conference in person.		
20	OR		
21	Plaintiff would like to participate in the settlement conference by video conference, if		
22	available.		
23	DATED:		
24	DATED.		
25	Plaintiff		
26			
27			
28			
		1	