1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	MERIDIAN APARTMENTS, LP,	NO 2:17-cv-00320-MCE-DB	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	٧.	ORDER	
14	HARDEEP KUMAR,		
15	Defendant.		
16			
17	On February 14, 2017, Defendant HARDEEP KUMAR, proceeding pro se, filed a		
18	Notice of Removal of this unlawful detainer action from the Placer County Superior		
19	Court. <sup>1</sup> ECF No. 1. This Court has an independent duty to ascertain its jurisdiction and		
20	may remand sua sponte for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. See 28 U.S.C. § 1447(c).		
21	"The burden of establishing federal jurisdiction is on the party seeking removal, and the		
22	removal statute is strictly construed against removal jurisdiction." Emrich v. Touche		
23	Ross & Co., 846 F.2d 1190, 1195 (9th Cir. 1988) (internal citation omitted). "Federal		
24	jurisdiction must be rejected if there is any doubt as to the right of removal in the first		
25	instance." Gaus v. Miles, Inc., 980 F.2d 564, 566 (9th Cir. 1992). As explained below,		
26	Defendant has failed to meet that burden		
27			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Despite Defendant's pro se status, the undersigned revokes any actual or anticipated referral to a Magistrate Judge. <u>See</u> L.R. 302(c)(21).

The Notice of Removal is premised on the argument that this Court has federal
jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331. ECF No. 1 at 2. However, a review of the
Complaint reveals that Plaintiff does not allege any federal claims; instead, Plaintiff
alleges only unlawful detainer under state law. ECF No. 1 at 5-8.

5 "The presence or absence of federal-question jurisdiction is governed by the 'well-6 pleaded complaint rule,' which provides that federal jurisdiction exists only when a 7 federal question is presented on the fact of plaintiff's properly pleaded complaint." 8 Caterpillar, Inc. v. Williams, 482 U.S. 386, 392 (1987). This is the case where the 9 complaint "establishes either that [1] federal law creates the cause of action or that 10 [2] the plaintiff's right to relief necessarily depends on resolution of a substantial question 11 of federal law." Williston Basin Interstate Pipeline Co. v. An Exclusive Gas Storage 12 Leasehold & Easement, 524 F.3d 1090, 1100 (9th Cir. 2008) (quoting Franchise Tax Bd. 13 v. Constr. Laborers Vacation Trust, 463 U.S. 1, 27-28 (1983)).

14 Here, Plaintiff's one cause of action is for unlawful detainer under state law. At 15 most, Defendant argues that they have a defense under federal law. "A case may not be removed to federal court on the basis of a federal defense . . . even if the defense is 16 17 anticipated in the plaintiff's complaint, and even if both parties admit that the defense is 18 the only question truly at issue in the case." ARCO Envtl. Remediation, LLC v. Dep't. of 19 Health & Envtl. Quality of the State of Montana, 213 F.3d 1108, 1113 (9th Cir. 2000) 20 (citation and guotation marks omitted). Therefore, this Court lacks jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331.<sup>2</sup> 21

Accordingly:

23

22

1. The action is REMANDED to the Placer County Superior Court.

24 25 2. The Clerk of Court is directed to serve a certified copy of the order on the

25

26

<sup>2</sup> Nor has Defendant established that this Court has diversity jurisdiction, since the Notice of

Removal does not establish diversity of the parties or that the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.
<u>See Fed. Home Loan Mortg. Corp. v. Cantillano</u>, No. CV 12-01641 GAF (CMx), 2012 WL 1193613, at \*2 (C.D. Cal. Apr. 9, 2012) ("The appropriate dollar amount in determining the amount of controversy in unlawful detainer actions is the rental value of the property, not the value of the property as a whole.").

1		number (No. MCV0066649) in the proof of service
2	3.	Defendant's Motion to Proceed in Forma Pauperis (ECF No. 2) is DENIED
3		as moot.
4	4.	The Clerk of Court is directed to close this case and vacate all dates.
5	5.	The Clerk of the Court is ordered not to open another case removing the
6		following unlawful detainer action: No. MCV0066649.
7	IT IS	SO ORDERED.
8	Dated: Feb	ruary 16, 2017
9		Moun les i.
10		MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		3
	1	