1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 10 FRANCOIS P. GIVENS, No. 2:17-cv-0328 KJM CKD P 11 Petitioner, 12 **ORDER** v. 13 ROBERT NEUSCHMID, 14 Respondent. 15 16 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this application for a writ of 17 habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate 18 Judge as provided by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. 19 On February 8, 2019, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, 20 which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to 21 the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Respondent has filed 22 objections to the findings and recommendations, Objs, ECF No. 28, and petitioner has responded, 23 ECF No. 31. 24 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, 25 this court has conducted a de novo review of this case. In light of the issues raised by 26 respondent's objections and the lack of cited authority or explanation for the "materially 27 different" standard used in the findings and recommendations, Findings at 4, the matter is referred 28 back to the magistrate judge for further consideration and explanation. 1

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that	Accordingly	. IT IS	HEREBY	ORDERED	that:
--	-------------	---------	--------	---------	-------

- 1. The findings and recommendations filed February 8, 2019, are not adopted; and
- 2. The matter is referred back to the assigned Magistrate Judge.

DATED: March 29, 2019.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE