1			
2			
3			
<u>4</u>			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DIST	FRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10			
11 12	ETUATE SEKONA,	1:17-cv-00210-MJS (PC)	
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE	
14	v. JOE A. LIZARRAGA, et al.,	SACRAMENTO DIVISION OF THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
15	Defendant.		
16			
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant		
18	to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to		
19	28 U.S.C. § 1915.		
20	In his complaint, plaintiff alleges violations of his civil rights by defendants. The		
21	alleged violations took place in Amador County, which is part of the Sacramento Division		
22	of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California. Therefore, the		
23	complaint should have been filed in the Sacramento Division.		
24	Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced the proper court may, on the court's own motion, be transferred to the proper cou Therefore, this action will be transferred to the Sacramento Division. This court will n rule on plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis.		
25 00			
26 27			
27 28			
20	1		

1	Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
2	1. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern	
3	District of California sitting in Sacramento; and	
4	2. All future filings shall refer to the new Sacramento case number assigned and	
5	shall be filed at:	
6	United States District Court Eastern District of California	
7	501 "I" Street, Suite 4-200 Sacramento, CA 95814	
8	3. This court has not ruled on plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis.	
9		
10	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
11		
12	Dated: <u>February 16, 2017</u> <u>Is/ Michael J. Seng</u>	
13	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	2	
	2	