

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PAUL LOUIS BLANK, et al.,

Plaintiff,

v.

SACRAMENTO REGIONAL TRANSIT,
et al.,

Defendants.

No. 2:17-cv-0371-JAM-KJN PS
ORDER

On April 20, 2017, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on the parties and which contained notice that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. No objections were filed.

Accordingly, the court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge’s conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983).

The court has reviewed the applicable legal standards and, good cause appearing, concludes that it is appropriate to adopt the Proposed Findings and Recommendations in full.

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that:

- 1. The Proposed Findings and Recommendations filed April 20, 2017, are ADOPTED;
- 2. Paul Blank Apparel, Inc.’s claims asserted in the complaint be dismissed without leave to amend.

DATED: May 26, 2017

/s/ John A. Mendez

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE