

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ERNEST LINCOLN BONNER, JR., M.D.,
Plaintiff,
v.
MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA, et
al.,
Defendants.

No. 2:17-cv-0445 KJM DB PS

ORDER

On January 12, 2021, this matter was, referred to the undersigned in accordance with Local Rule 302(c)(21) and 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). (ECF No. 83.)

Good cause appearing, IT IS ORDERED that:

1. A Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Conference is set for **Friday, February 26, 2021, at 10:00 a.m.** at the United States District Court, 501 I Street, Sacramento, California, in Courtroom No. 27 before the undersigned.

2. All parties are required to appear at the Status Conference, either by counsel or, if proceeding in propria persona, on his or her own behalf. Any party may appear at the status conference telephonically if the party pre-arranges such appearance by contacting Pete Buzo, the courtroom deputy of the undersigned magistrate judge, at (916) 930-4128, no later than 48 hours

///

1 before the Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Conference; a party may not appear telephonically over a
2 cellphone.

3 3. Plaintiff shall file and serve a status report on or before **February 12, 2021**, and
4 defendants shall file and serve a status report on or before **February 19, 2021**. Each party's
5 status report shall address all of the following matters:

- 6 a. Progress of service of process;
- 7 b. Possible joinder of additional parties;
- 8 c. Possible amendment of the pleadings;
- 9 d. Jurisdiction and venue;
- 10 e. Anticipated motions and the scheduling thereof;
- 11 f. Anticipated discovery and the scheduling thereof, including
12 disclosure of expert witnesses;
- 13 g. Future proceedings, including the setting of appropriate cut-off
14 dates for discovery and for law and motion, and the scheduling of a
15 final pretrial conference and trial;
- 16 h. Modification of standard pretrial procedures specified by the rules
17 due to the relative simplicity or complexity of the action;
- 18 i. Whether the case is related to any other case, including matters in
19 bankruptcy;
- 20 j. Whether the parties will stipulate to the magistrate judge assigned
21 to this matter acting as settlement judge, waiving any
22 disqualification by virtue of her so acting, or whether they prefer to
23 have a Settlement Conference before another magistrate judge;
- 24 k. Whether the parties intend to consent to proceed before a United
25 States Magistrate Judge; and
- 26 l. Any other matters that may aid in the just and expeditious
27 disposition of this action.

28 4. The parties are cautioned that failure to file a status report or failure to appear at the
status conference may result in an order imposing an appropriate sanction. See Local Rules 110
and 183.

DATED: January 13, 2021

/s/ DEBORAH BARNES
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE