1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	SANTIAGO SANCHEZ,	No. 2:17-cv-0455 KJM DB P	
12	Petitioner,		
13	V.	<u>ORDER</u>	
14	JOEL MARTINEZ,		
15	Respondent.		
16			
17	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding through counsel, has filed an application for a writ		
18	of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate		
19	Judge as provided by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.		
20	On November 16, 2020, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which		
21	were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the		
22	findings and recommendations were to be filed within thirty days. Neither party has filed		
23	objections to the findings and recommendations.		
24	The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States,		
25	602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed		
26	de novo. See Robbins v. Carey, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations of law		
27	by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court		
28	////		
		1	

1	$\ldots$ ."). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be	
2	supported by the record and by the proper analysis.	
3	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
4	1. The findings and recommendations filed November 16, 2020, are adopted in full;	
5	2. Petitioner's petition for a writ of habeas corpus is denied; and	
6	3. The court declines to issue the certificate of appealability referenced in 28 U.S.C.	
7	§ 2253.	
8	DATED: April 29, 2021.	
9 10	nAmela	
11	CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	