



1 Consistent with the legal principles set forth in the magistrate judge's order, in order to state a  
2 claim for relief, plaintiff must allege what defendant Martel did or did not do that contributed to  
3 the alleged constitutional violation. In the alternative, plaintiff must name as defendants the staff  
4 members who allegedly failed to help him safely use the wood transfer board and describe what  
5 those defendants did or did not do that contributed to the alleged constitutional violation. The  
6 magistrate judge has properly given plaintiff leave to amend his complaint.

7 For the foregoing reasons, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for  
8 reconsideration is denied, and upon reconsideration, the order of the magistrate judge filed April  
9 27, 2017, is affirmed.

10 DATED: July 5, 2017.

11  
12   
13 \_\_\_\_\_  
14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28