

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

WILLIE WEAVER,

 Plaintiff,

 v.

HILARY K. DAVISSON,

 Defendant.

No. 2:17-cv-0500 CKD P

ORDER &
FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS

By an order filed March 15, 2017, plaintiff was ordered to either file a completed in forma pauperis application or pay the filing fee within thirty days. Plaintiff was informed that failure to do so would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. The thirty day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not responded to the court's order and has not filed a completed application or paid the filing fee.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of Court assign a district judge to this action.


IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

////

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court. Such a document should be captioned “Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations.” Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

Dated: May 3, 2017



CAROLYN K. DELANEY
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

2 / weav0500.fifp