UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
LISA BELYEW,	No. 2:17-cv-0506-JAM-EFB P
Plaintiff,	
V.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
BUTTE COUNTY JAIL MEDICAL	
Derendant.	
Plaintiff is county inmate proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42	
U.S.C. § 1983. This proceeding was referred to this court by Local Rule 302 pursuant to 28	
U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).	
On March 1, 2018, the court screened plaintiff's complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C.	
§ 1915A. The court dismissed the complaint, explained the deficiencies therein and granted	
plaintiff thirty days in which file an amended complaint to cure the deficiencies. ECF No. 13.	
The order warned plaintiff that failure to comply would result a recommendation that this action	
be dismissed. The time for acting has passed and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint, or	
otherwise responded to the court's order.	
A party's failure to comply with any o	order or with the Local Rules "may be grounds for
imposition by the Court of any and all sanctions authorized by statute or Rule or within the	
	1
	FOR THE EASTERN T FOR THE EASTERN T Plaintiff, v. BUTTE COUNTY JAIL MEDICAL STAFF, Defendant. Plaintiff is county inmate proceeding U.S.C. § 1983. This proceeding was referred U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). On March 1, 2018, the court screened § 1915A. The court dismissed the complaint, plaintiff thirty days in which file an amended The order warned plaintiff that failure to com be dismissed. The time for acting has passed otherwise responded to the court's order. A party's failure to comply with any of

1	inherent power of the Court." E.D. Cal. Local Rule 110. The court may dismiss an action with or
2	without prejudice, as appropriate, if a party disobeys an order or the Local Rules. See Ferdik v.
3	Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1263 (9th Cir. 1992) (district court did not abuse discretion in
4	dismissing pro se plaintiff's complaint for failing to obey an order to re-file an amended
5	complaint to comply with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure); Carey v. King, 856 F.2d 1439,
6	1440-41 (9th Cir. 1988) (dismissal for pro se plaintiff's failure to comply with local rule
7	regarding notice of change of address affirmed).
8	Accordingly, it is hereby RECOMMENDED that this action be DISMISSED without
9	prejudice. Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); E. D. Cal. Local Rule 110.
10	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge
11	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days
12	after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written
13	objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned
14	"Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the
15	objections shall be served and filed within fourteen days after service of the objections. The
16	parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to
17	appeal the District Court's order. Turner v. Duncan, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); Martinez
18	v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
19	Dated: April 18, 2018.
20	EDMUND F. BRENNAN
21	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2