1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	RAUL GARZA,	1:17-cv-00327-MJS (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE SACRAMENTO DIVISION OF THE
14	CDCR APPEALS, et al.,	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant	
18	to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28	
19	U.S.C. § 1915.	
20	In his complaint, plaintiff alleges violations of his civil rights by defendants. The	
21	alleged violations took place in Sacramento County, which is part of the Sacramento	
22	Division of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California.	
23	Therefore, the complaint should have been filed in the Sacramento Division.	
24	Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in	
25	the proper court may, on the court's own motion, be transferred to the proper court.	
26	Therefore, this action will be transferred to the Sacramento Division. This court will not	
27	rule on plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis.	
28	Good cause appearing, IT IS HER	LEBY ORDERED that:
		•

1	1. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern
2	District of California sitting in Sacramento; and
3	2. All future filings shall refer to the new Sacramento case number assigned and
4	shall be filed at:
5	United States District Court Eastern District of California
6	501 "I" Street, Suite 4-200 Sacramento, CA 95814
7	3. This court has not ruled on plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis.
8	
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.
10	Dated: <u>March 9, 2017</u> Isl Michael J. Seng
11	Dated: <u>March 9, 2017</u> UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
12	
13	
14	
15	
16 17	
17 18	
18 19	
20	
20	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2