1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 JOHN FRATUS, No. 2:17-cv-0535 KJM KJN P 12 Plaintiff. 13 v. **ORDER** 14 SGT. KLEIN, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 Plaintiff is a state prisoner, proceeding pro se, who paid the filing fee. By order filed May 18 3, 2017, plaintiff was directed to complete service of process on defendants within sixty days. On 19 May 10, 2017, plaintiff filed a motion for the U.S. Marshal to serve defendants, citing Rule 20 4(c)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and alleges that he is indigent with no income. 21 However, plaintiff did not seek leave to proceed in forma pauperis, which would entitle 22 him to have the U.S. Marshal serve process on defendants. Because plaintiff states that he is indigent with no income, the Clerk of the Court is directed to send plaintiff the application to 23 24 proceed in forma pauperis. Once plaintiff completes and returns the form, the undersigned will reconsider the May 3, 2017 order if plaintiff can demonstrate he is entitled to proceed in forma 25 26 pauperis. 27 On June 1, 2017, and June 6, 2017, plaintiff renewed his motions to have the U.S. Marshal 28 service process on defendants. (ECF Nos. 17 & 18.) In his last motion, plaintiff claims he has

1	repeatedly requested the U.S. Marshal effect service but he "keeps getting ignored." (ECF No. 18
2	at 2.) Plaintiff is cautioned that he must allow the court sufficient time to address a motion.
3	Filing duplicate motions will not ensure a quicker response from the court, which faces one of the
4	highest prisoner caseloads in the nation. Because these motions are duplicative of the May 19,
5	2017 motion, such motions are denied.
6	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
7	1. The Clerk of the Court is directed to send plaintiff an application to proceed in forma
8	pauperis;
9	2. Within thirty days from the date of this order, plaintiff shall complete and return the
10	application to proceed in forma pauperis; ¹ and
11	3. Plaintiff's motions (ECF Nos. 17 & 18) are denied as duplicative.
12	Dated: June 13, 2017
13	Ferdal P. Newman
14	/frat0535.ifp KENDALL J. NEWMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	¹ Plaintiff is not required to provide a certified trust account statement. Once plaintiff provides

¹ Plaintiff is not required to provide a certified trust account statement. Once plaintiff provides the completed and signed application to proceed in forma pauperis, the CDCR will provide the certified trust account statement directly to the court.