

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MOODY WOODROW TANKSLEY,
Plaintiff,
v.
RIO CONSUMNES CORRECTIONAL
CENTER, et al.,
Defendants.

No. 2:17-cv-0566 CKD P

ORDER and FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS

By an order filed March 21, 2017, plaintiff was ordered to file a completed in forma pauperis application within thirty days and was cautioned that failure to do so would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. However, that order was served on plaintiff's address of record and returned by the postal service. It appears that plaintiff has failed to comply with Local Rule 183(b), which requires that a party appearing in propria persona inform the court of any address change.

Accordingly, the Clerk is directed to assign a district judge to this case; and

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed for plaintiff's failure to keep the court apprised of his current address. See Local Rules 183(b) and 110.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days

1 after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections
2 with the court. The document should be captioned “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings
3 and Recommendations.” Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified
4 time may waive the right to appeal the District Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153
5 (9th Cir. 1991).

6 Dated: June 28, 2017

7 
8 _____
9 CAROLYN K. DELANEY
10 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
11
12
13

14 12/tank0566.fifp.33a
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28