1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 7 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 RAYMOND RODRIGUEZ, 1:17-cv-00412-SKO (PC) 10 Plaintiff, ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE SACRAMENTO DIVISION OF THE 11 v. EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 12 COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, et al., 13 Defendants. 14 15 Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant to 42 16 U.S.C. § 1983, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 17 § 1915. 18 In his complaint, plaintiff alleges violations of his civil rights by the County of 19 Sacramento, as well as Sacramento County's Sheriff and Undersheriff. The alleged violations 20 took place in Sacramento County, which is part of the Sacramento Division of the United States 21 District Court for the Eastern District of California. Therefore, the complaint should have been 22 filed in the Sacramento Division. 23 Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in the proper 24 court may, on the court's own motion, be transferred to the proper court. Therefore, this action 25 will be transferred to the Sacramento Division. This court will not rule on plaintiff's request to 26 proceed in forma pauperis. 27 Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 28 1. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of

1	California sitting in Sacramento; and	
2	2. All future filings shall refer to the new Sacramento case number assigned and shall b	
3	filed at:	
4 5	United States District Court Eastern District of California 501 "I" Street, Suite 4-200 Sacramento, CA 95814	
6	3. This court has not ruled on plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis.	
7	3. This court has not raise on plainting	request to proceed in forma pauperis.
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
9	Dated:March 23, 2017	s Sheila K. Oberto
10		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	ll	