1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		2:17-cv-0615 AC P
11	ANIL KUMAR, TOBIAS NUNEZ GUZMAN,	No. 2:16-cv-1082 AC P
12	Plaintiffs,	
13		<u>ORDER</u>
14	v. STEVEN L. DUFOR, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17		
18	Plaintiffs are two inmates, proceeding pro se, with a civil rights action pursuant to 42	
19	U.S.C. § 1983.	
20	This court has determined that each plaintiff should proceed separately on his own claim.	
21	The Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provide "[p]arties may be dropped or added by order of the	
22	court on motion of any party or of its own initiative at any stage of the action and on such terms	
23	as are just. Any claim against a party may be severed and proceeded with separately."	
24	Fed. R. Civ. P. 21. Courts have broad discretion regarding severance. See Davis v. Mason	
25	County, 927 F.2d 1473, 1479 (9th Cir. 1991).	
26	In the instant action, plaintiffs were individuals detained in the Yuba County Jail.	
27	Plaintiff Guzman remains housed in the Yuba County Jail, but plaintiff Kumar has been	
28	transferred to the Mesa Verde Community Co	prrectional Facility. In this court's experience, an
		1

action brought by multiple inmate plaintiffs proceeding pro se presents procedural problems that
 cause delay and confusion. Delay often arises from the frequent transfer of inmates to other
 facilities or institutions, as already experienced here, the changes in address that occur when
 inmates are released, and the difficulties faced by inmates who attempt to communicate with each
 other and with unincarcerated individuals.

Accordingly, the court will order that plaintiffs' claims be severed. Plaintiff Kumar will
proceed in this action, while plaintiff Guzman will proceed in a civil action to be opened by the
Clerk of the Court. Each plaintiff will proceed with his own action and will be solely responsible
for his own action.

The Clerk of the Court is directed to assign the new action to the same magistrate judge
assigned to the instant action. The Clerk of the Court shall make appropriate adjustment in the
assignment of civil cases to compensate for this reassignment.

Each plaintiff has filed a request for leave to proceed in forma pauperis. The Clerk of the
Court is directed to file plaintiff Guzman's request to proceed in forma pauperis in his new action.
Each plaintiff is cautioned that if this action proceeds further it is probable that each plaintiff will
incur a liability in the amount of the \$350.00 filing fee, which amount will be collected from his
prison trust account.¹ See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b).

- 18 In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
 - 1. The claims of plaintiff Guzman are severed from the claims of plaintiff Kumar;
 - 2. Plaintiff Kumar shall proceed as the sole plaintiff in case No. 2:16-cv-1082 AC;
 - 3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to:
- 22

19

20

21

- a. Open a separate civil action for plaintiff Guzman;
- b. Assign the new action to the magistrate judge to whom the instant case is
 assigned and make appropriate adjustment in the assignment of civil cases to compensate for such
 assignment;
- 26

27

////

 ¹ See Information to Prisoners Seeking Leave to Proceed with a Civil Action in Federal Court In
 Forma Pauperis Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915.

1	c. File and docket a copy of this order in the file opened for plaintiff Guzman;	
2	d. Place a copy of the complaint filed May 20, 2016 (ECF No. 1), and the motion	
3	to proceed in forma pauperis filed June 2, 2016 (ECF No. 4), in the file opened for plaintiff	
4	Guzman;	
5	e. Strike from the caption of each plaintiff's complaint the name of the other	
6	plaintiff;	
7	f. Send plaintiff Guzman an endorsed copy of his complaint bearing the amended	
8	caption and the case number assigned to his own individual action;	
9	Dated: March 23, 2017	
10	Ferdall D. Newman	
11	KENDALL J. NEWMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
12	/kuma1082.2.2	
13	/Kuma1002.2.2	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	3	