I

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DARLICE CARTER,	No. 2:17-cv-0636 TLN AC (PS)
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	JENNIFER HURMAN, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff is proceeding in this action pro se. The action was accordingly referred to the	
18	undersigned for pretrial matters by E.D. Cal. R. ("Local Rule") 302(c)(21). On June 13, 2017, the	
19	court dismissed the complaint, and granted plaintiff 30 days to file an amended complaint within	
20	30 days. ECF No. 3. Plaintiff was cautioned that failure to do so could lead to a recommendation	
21	that the action be dismissed. Plaintiff has not respond to the court's orders, nor taken any action	
22	to prosecute this case.	
23	Therefore, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed, without	
24	prejudice, for lack of prosecution and for failure to comply with the court's order. See Fed. R.	
25	Civ. P. 41(b); Local Rule 110.	
26	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge	
27	assigned to this case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within twenty-one	
28	(21) days after being served with these findir	ngs and recommendations, plaintiff may file written
		1

1	objections with the court. Such document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's	
2	Findings and Recommendations." Local Rule 304(d). Plaintiff is advised that failure to file	
3	objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order.	
4	Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).	
5	DATED: July 14, 2017	
6	allison clane	
7	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	2	