

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

WAYDE HOLLIS HARRIS,
Plaintiff,
v.
S. KERNAN, et al.,
Defendants.

No. 2:17-cv-0680 GEB KJN P

ORDER

Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On December 20, 2017, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Plaintiff has not filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the magistrate judge’s analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:


1. The findings and recommendations filed December 20, 2017, are adopted in full; and

///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

2. Defendant J. Clark Kelso¹ is dismissed from this action.

Dated: January 12, 2018



GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR.
Senior United States District Judge

¹ In the recommendation clause, Mr. Kelso’s last name was inadvertently omitted. (ECF No. 22 at 16.) However, in the text of the findings and recommendations, Mr. Kelso’s complete name “J. Clark Kelso” is referenced, and his last name is used throughout the analysis. (Id. at 11-12.) The recommendation clause simply reiterates conclusion based on the analysis set forth above. Thus, no misunderstanding results from such typographical error.