1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	MICHAEL MAURICE COTTRELL,	No. 2:17-cv-0700 KJM CKD P	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	v.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION	
14	M.E. SPEARMAN, et al.,		
15	Defendants.		
16			
17	By order filed April 12, 2017, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed and thirty days leave to		
18	file an amended complaint was granted. The thirty day period has now expired, and plaintiff has		
19	not filed an amended complaint.		
20	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without		
21	prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).		
22	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge		
23	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days		
24	after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections		
25	with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings		
26	and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified		
27	/////		
28	/////		
		1	

1	time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153		
2	(9th Cir. 1991).		
3	Dated: May 25, 2017 Carop U. Delany		
4	CAROLYN K. DELANEY	_	
5	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11	12/cott0700.fta		
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
2526			