

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LOUREECE STONE CLARK,
Plaintiff,
v.
DELL FARINAS, et al.,
Defendants.

No. 2:17-cv-0727 DB P

ORDER AND FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS

By order issued March 30, 2018, plaintiff’s complaint was dismissed and thirty days leave to file an amended complaint was granted. (See ECF No. 9). The thirty-day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court’s order.

Although it appears from the file that plaintiff’s copy of the order was returned as undeliverable due to the fact that plaintiff is no longer in custody, plaintiff was properly served. Service of documents at the record address of the party is fully effective. See L.R. 182(f).

Moreover, it is the plaintiff’s responsibility to keep the court apprised of his current address at all times. See L.R. 183(b). More than sixty-three days have passed since the court order was returned by the postal service, and plaintiff has failed to notify the court of a current address.

///

