1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	RAFAEL CABRERA,	No. 2:17-cv-0743 CKD P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER AND
14	CALIFORNIA FORENSIC MEDICAL GROUP, et al.,	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
15	Defendants	
16		
17	On May 11, 2017, the court ordered plaintiff to file a completed application to proceed in	
18	forma pauperis within 30 days. Plaintiff was warned that failure to file a completed application to	
19	proceed in forma pauperis within 30 days would result in dismissal. <sup>1</sup> Plaintiff has not filed a	
20	completed application to proceed in forma pauperis.	
21	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court assign a district	
22	court judge to the case; and	
23	/////	
24	/////	
25	1	
26	<sup>1</sup> The court's docket reveals that the court's May 11, 2017 order was returned to the court by the U.S. Postal Service because plaintiff was released from the Butte County Jail. Plaintiff has not provided the court with a new address. It is the plaintiff's responsibility to keep the court apprised of his current address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service of documents	
27		
28	at the record address of the party is fully effective.	

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE