1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	KAMURI M. SPEARS, No. 2:17-CV-0748-CMK
12	Plaintiff,
13	vs. <u>ORDER</u>
14	COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,
15	Defendant.
16	
17	/
18	Plaintiff, who is proceeding with retained counsel, brings this action under
19	42 U.S.C. § 405(g) for judicial review of a final decision of the Commissioner of Social Security.
20	A review of the docket reflects that defendant has notified the court regarding consent to proceed
21	before a Magistrate Judge. Plaintiff, however, has not notified the court regarding consent.
22	Pursuant to the court's scheduling order and Eastern District of California Local Rules, Appendix
23	A, the time to do so has now expired.
24	///
25	///
26	///
	1

1	Plaintiff shall show cause in writing, within 10 days of the date of this order, why
2	this action should not be dismissed for failure to inform the court regarding consent to Magistrate
3	Judge jurisdiction, as required by the court's scheduling order. Submission of a completed
4	consent election form shall constitute a sufficient response. The Clerk of the Court is directed
5	to serve on plaintiff a copy of the court's form entitled "Consent to Assignment or Request for
6	Reassignment." Plaintiff is warned that failure to respond to this order may result in the
7	dismissal of the action for the reasons discussed above, as well as for failure to prosecute and
8	comply with court rules and orders. See Local Rule 110.
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.
10	
11	DATED: August 7, 2018
12	-raig m. Kellison
13	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2

I