

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

JESSE FRANCIS MILLER, SR.,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS  
AFFAIRS,  
Defendant.

No. 2:17-CV-0759-KJM-DMC

ORDER

Plaintiff, who is proceeding pro se, brings this civil action. Pending before the court is plaintiff's first amended complaint (Doc. 5).

The court is required to screen complaints brought by litigants who have been granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2). Under this screening provision, the court must dismiss a complaint or portion thereof if it: (1) is frivolous or malicious; (2) fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted; or (3) seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(A), (B). Moreover, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(h)(3), this court must dismiss an action if the court determines that it lacks subject matter jurisdiction. Because plaintiff has been granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis, the court will screen the complaint pursuant to § 1915(e)(2). Pursuant to Rule 12(h)(3), the court will also consider as a threshold matter whether it has subject-matter

1 jurisdiction.

2 Plaintiff claims George W. Chiu, M.D., a doctor with defendant Department of  
3 Veterans Affairs, showed “absolute disregard in performing prostate surgery. . . .” The court  
4 concludes that it has subject matter jurisdiction and that the complaint is appropriate for service  
5 by the United States Marshal without pre-payment of costs. If plaintiff desires service of process  
6 by the United States Marshal without pre-payment of costs, plaintiff must comply with the  
7 requirements outlined below. Plaintiff is warned that failure to comply with this order, or  
8 otherwise effect service pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4, may result in dismissal of  
9 the action for lack of prosecution and failure to comply with court rules and orders. See Local  
10 Rule 110.

11 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 12 1. The Clerk of the Court shall issue a summons in a civil case, the  
13 undersigned’s new case documents, and an order setting this matter for an initial scheduling  
14 conference;
- 15 2. The Clerk of the Court shall send plaintiff the summons, one USM-285  
16 forms, and a copy of the complaint;
- 17 3. Within 15 days from the date of this order, plaintiff shall complete the  
18 summons by indicating the addresses of the named defendants and shall submit to the United  
19 States Marshal at the address indicated below the following documents:
  - 20 a. The completed summons;
  - 21 b. One completed USM-285 form for each named defendant;
  - 22 c. Two copies of the complaint; and
  - 23 d. One copy of the court’s initial scheduling conference order issued  
24 herewith;
- 25 4. Within 20 days of the date of this order, plaintiff shall file a notice  
26 indicating that the documents described above have been submitted to the United States Marshal,  
27 or a notice that plaintiff intends to serve the summons and complaint without assistance from the  
28 United States Marshal;

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

5. If plaintiff seeks the assistance of the United States Marshal, the United States Marshal is directed to serve all process without pre-payment of costs not later than 60 days from the date of this order, such service of process to be completed by serving a copy of the summons, complaint, and initial scheduling conference order on the defendants at the addresses provided by plaintiff; and

6. The Clerk of the Court is directed to serve a copy of this order on the United States Marshal at 501 "I" Street, Sacramento, CA, 95814.

Dated: February 19, 2019



DENNIS M. COTA  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE