1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	WILLIE WEAVER,	No. 2:17-cv-0787 CKD P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER & FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS
14	SERGEANT,	RECOMMENDATIONS
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	By an order filed April 18, 2017, plaintiff was ordered to either file a completed in forma	
18	pauperis application or pay the filing fee within thirty days. Plaintiff was informed that failure to	
19	do so would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. The thirty day period has	
20	now expired, and plaintiff has not responded to the court's order and has not filed a completed	
21	application or paid the filing fee.	
22	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of Court assign a district judge to	
23	this action.	
24	IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See	
25	Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).	
26	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge	
27	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days	
28	after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections	

1	with the court. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings	
2	and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified	
3	time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153	
4	(9th Cir. 1991).	
5	Dated: May 25, 2017 Carop U. Delany	
6	CAROLYN K. DELANEY	
7	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13	12/Weav0787.fifp	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		