1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 RACKWISE, INC., a Nevada No. 17-cv-797 WBS CKD corporation, 12 Plaintiff, 13 AMENDED FINAL PRETRIAL ORDER v. 14 GUY ARCHBOLD, an individual, 15 and DOES 1 to 25, inclusive, 16 Defendants. 17 18 19 A Final Pretrial Conference was held in this matter, 20 pursuant to the provisions of Rule 16(d) of the Federal Rules of 21 Civil Procedure and Local Rule 282, on July 2, 2018. Harry 22 McLaughlin and Ognian Gavrilov appeared as counsel for plaintiff, 23 and Randall Paulson appeared as counsel for defendant. Following 24 the conference and having received plaintiff's Proposed 25 Modifications to the Final Pretrial Order (Docket No. 106) as 26 well as defendant's Objection (Docket No. 108), the court enters 27 this Amended Final Pretrial Order: 28 1

I. Jurisdiction - Venue

2.1

2.4

Jurisdiction is predicated upon diversity jurisdiction, 28 U.S.C. § 1332. Venue is undisputed and is hereby found to be proper.

II. Remaining Claims

Plaintiff's remaining claims for trial are (1) declaratory and injunctive relief, (2) conversion, (3) fraud, (4) breach of the duty of good faith and loyalty, and (5) tortious interference with prospective economic advantage.

III. Jury - Non-Jury

Plaintiff demanded a jury trial multiple times before the trial conference, and at the Pretrial Conference, the parties and the court contemplated a jury trial on at least some of the issues. However, after the Pretrial Conference, plaintiff filed a Notice of Waiver of Jury Trial and contends that defendant waived his right to a jury trial, meaning the case should proceed to a court trial on all issues. (Docket Nos. 98, 102.)

The court disagrees. Notably, plaintiff agrees that the "general rule is that once one party files a jury demand, other parties are entitled to rely on that demand for the issues it covers, and need not file their own demands." (Docket No. 102 at 2.) See Calnetics Corp. v. Volkswagen of Am., Inc., 532 F.2d 674, 690 (9th Cir. 1976). Because plaintiff made a demand for jury trial, and indeed maintained this demand until after the Pretrial Conference, defendant was entitled to rely on this demand and was not required to formally demand a jury trial.

Further, once a party requests a jury trial, "[a] proper demand may be withdrawn only if the parties consent," Fed.

R. Civ. P. 38(d) ("Rule 38(d)"), and the trial must be by a jury unless "the parties . . . file a stipulation to a nonjury trial or so stipulate on the record," Fed. R. Civ. P. 39(a)(1) ("Rule 39(a)(1)"). The court notes that defendant specifically stated in his Pretrial Statement that he did not request a jury trial.

(See Docket No. 95 at 2.) However, this statement, made before plaintiff's Notice of Waiver was filed, and made before the court's Pretrial Conference, at which the parties and the court contemplated a jury trial on at least some issues, does not constitute defendant's unambiguous consent and stipulation to a court trial on all issues. See Pradier v. Elespuru, 641 F.2d 808, 811 (9th Cir. 1981) (courts "should indulge every reasonable presumption against waiver" of trial by jury).

2.1

2.4

Plaintiff relies primarily on Reid Brothers Logging Co. v. Ketchikan Pulp Co., 699 F.2d 1292 (9th Cir. 1983), in arguing that defendant waived his jury demand, but that case is inapposite. There, the plaintiff demanded a jury and the defendants initially opposed the request, moving to strike the jury demand. Later, the plaintiff withdrew the jury demand and one defendant refused to consent to a court trial. On appeal, the non-consenting defendant argued that it was entitled to a jury under Rules 38(d) and 39(a). The Ninth Circuit rejected that argument, holding that the defendant's prior "consistent" and "persistent" efforts to oppose the jury demand constituted waiver of the right to a jury, notwithstanding that defendant's failure to consent or stipulate as required by Rules 38(d) and 39(a). Cases citing Reid Brothers have generally limited that case to its facts, where there was active and consistent

opposition to a jury trial. <u>See, e.g.</u>, <u>S.E.C. v. Masri</u>, 551 F. Supp. 2d 320, 322 (S.D.N.Y. 2008).

2.1

Because plaintiff demanded a jury trial and defendant did not actively oppose a jury trial or stipulate to a court trial on all issues after plaintiff withdrew his jury demand, Reid Brothers is inapplicable. Accordingly, plaintiff's claims for conversion, fraud, breach of the duty of good faith and loyalty, and tortious interference with prospective economic advantage shall be tried, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 48, before a jury consisting of no less than six and no more than twelve members.

Plaintiff's claims for declaratory relief and request for a permanent injunction will be decided by the court, absent any stipulation by the parties regarding any other issues that should be decided by the court or the jury.

IV. Statement of Case, Jury Instructions, Proposed Form of Verdict

By July 23, 2018, counsel for plaintiff shall lodge and serve, pursuant to Local Rule 163, copies of all jury instructions that plaintiff requests be given on plaintiff's claims for conversion, fraud, breach of the duty of good faith and loyalty, and tortious interference with prospective economic advantage. At that time, counsel for plaintiff shall also file and serve a copy of a proposed form of verdict. Plaintiff's

The court notes that it previously set different deadlines for proposed jury instructions and verdict forms at the Pretrial Conference. However, given the parties' filings after the Pretrial Conference, which delayed the issuance of this Order, these deadlines have been revised.

instructions shall include an instruction for every cause of action which is to be tried by the jury and set forth every element that plaintiff must prove in order to recover on each cause of action.

2.1

2.4

By August 1, 2018, counsel for defendant shall file and serve any objections to the instructions proposed by plaintiff. At the same time, counsel for defendant shall lodge and serve, pursuant to Local Rule 163, copies of any and all jury instructions not already proposed by plaintiff, which defendant requests be given. Also at that time, counsel for defendant shall file and serve a copy of any proposed form of verdict and shall also file any objections to plaintiff's proposed form of verdict.

By August 8, 2018, counsel for plaintiff shall file and serve any objections to the instructions proposed by defendant and to any proposed form of verdict.

Pursuant to Local Rule 163, any other instructions thereafter presented will be refused unless it is shown either:

(1) that the necessity for the request arose in the course of trial; could not reasonably have been anticipated prior to trial from the pleadings, discovery or nature of the action; and the request for such additional instructions is presented to the court as promptly as possible; or (2) that the refusal to give such instructions would constitute plain error.

Likewise, any objections to proposed instructions not made in accordance with this order will be overruled as untimely unless it is shown either: (1) that the grounds therefor arose in the course of trial and the intention to make such objections is

communicated to the court as promptly as possible, or (2) that the giving of such instructions would constitute plain error.

The parties shall appear in person on August 13, 2018 at 1:30PM in Courtroom 5, 14th floor for a Further Pretrial Conference to discuss jury instructions and a proposed form of verdict.

V. Voir Dire Questions

2.1

2.4

No later than fourteen calendar days before the trial date, counsel for each party shall submit all proposed jury voir dire questions.

VI. Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law

No later than fourteen court days before the trial date, plaintiff shall lodge and serve the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and form of judgment which plaintiff proposes to be entered at the conclusion of the trial pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 52 on plaintiff's claims for injunctive and declaratory relief. No later than seven court days before trial, defendant shall lodge and serve the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and form of judgment which defendant proposes be entered on the injunctive and declaratory relief claims.

VII. Trial Briefs

No later than fourteen calendar days before the trial date, counsel for each party shall file trial briefs pursuant to Local Rule 285.

25 | VIII. Witnesses

- (A) Plaintiff anticipates calling the witnesses identified at Exhibit "A" attached hereto.
 - (B) Defendant anticipates calling the witnesses

identified at Exhibit "B" attached hereto.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

2.4

26

27

28

- (C) Except for retained experts, each party may call any witness designated by any other party.
- (D) No other witnesses will be permitted to testify at trial unless:
- (1) all parties stipulate that the witness may testify;
- (2) the party offering the witness demonstrates that the witness is for the purpose of rebutting evidence which could not have been reasonably anticipated at the time of the Pretrial Conference; or
- (3) the witness was discovered after the Pretrial Conference.
- (E) Testimony of a witness not designated in this Order, which is offered under paragraph VIII(D)(3), above, upon the grounds that the witness was discovered after the Pretrial Conference, will not be permitted unless:
- (1) the testimony of the witness could not reasonably have been discovered prior to the Pretrial Conference;
- (2) the court and opposing counsel were promptly notified upon discovery of the testimony; and
- (3) counsel proffered the witness for deposition if time permitted or provided all opposing counsel a reasonable summary of the testimony if time did not permit a deposition.

25 IX. Exhibits

- (A) Plaintiff intends to offer the exhibits identified at Exhibit "C" attached hereto.
 - (B) Defendant intends to offer the exhibits identified

at Exhibit "D" attached hereto.

2.1

2.4

- (C) Each party may offer any exhibit designated by any other party.
- (D) No other exhibits will be received in evidence unless:
- (1) all parties stipulate that the exhibit may be received in evidence;
- (2) the party offering the exhibit demonstrates that the exhibit is for the purpose of rebutting evidence which could not have been reasonably anticipated at the time of the Pretrial Conference; or
- (3) the exhibit was discovered after the Pretrial Conference.
- (E) An exhibit not designated in this Order, which is offered under paragraph IX(D)(3), above, upon the grounds that the exhibit was discovered after the Pretrial Conference, will not be received in evidence unless:
- (1) the exhibit could not reasonably have been discovered prior to the Pretrial Conference;
- (2) the court and opposing counsel were promptly notified upon discovery of the exhibit; and
- (3) counsel provided copies of the exhibit to all opposing counsel if physically possible or made the exhibit reasonably available for inspection by all opposing counsel if copying was not physically possible.
- (F) Each party shall exchange copies of all exhibits identified in this Order, or make them reasonably available for inspection by all other parties, no later than seven calendar

days before the trial date. Any and all objections to such exhibits shall be filed and served not later than four calendar days before the trial date.

- (G) The attorney for each party is directed to appear before trial and present an original (and if physically possible one copy) of each exhibit to Deputy Clerk Karen Kirksey Smith at 8:30 a.m. on the date of trial.
- (H) Each exhibit which has been designated in this Order and presented on the morning of the date of trial shall be pre-marked by counsel. Plaintiff's exhibits shall bear numbers; defendant's exhibits shall bear letters. If no objection has been made to such exhibit pursuant to paragraph IX(F), above, such exhibit will require no further foundation and will be received in evidence upon the motion of any party at trial.

X. Further Discovery and Motions

2.

2.1

2.2

Except for motions in limine, no further motions shall be brought before trial except upon order of the court and upon a showing of manifest injustice. Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(e). No further discovery will be permitted except by the express stipulation of all parties or upon order of the court and upon a showing of manifest injustice. Id.

XI. Use of Depositions or Interrogatories

No later than twenty calendar days before the trial date, counsel for each party shall file and serve a statement designating all answers to interrogatories and all portions of depositions intended to be offered or read into evidence, with the exception of portions to be used only for impeachment or rebuttal. No later than ten calendar days before the trial date,

counsel for any other party may file and serve a counterdesignation of other portions of the same depositions intended to
be offered or read into evidence and may file evidentiary
objections to any other parties' designation. No later than
seven calendar days before the trial date, the parties may file
evidentiary objections to any other party's counter-designation.

XII. Date and Length of Trial

The trial is set for August 21, 2018, in Courtroom 5. The court estimates that the trial will last approximately ten days.

XIII. Settlement

2.1

2.4

The parties are willing to participate in a pretrial settlement conference. Accordingly, a settlement conference is set before Magistrate Judge Edmund F. Brennan on August 7, 2018 at 10:00AM in Courtroom 8, 13th floor. Each party is ordered to have a principal with full settlement authority present at the settlement conference or to be fully authorized to settle the matter on any terms.

No later than 12:00 PM (noon) on July 31, 2018 counsel for each party shall submit a Confidential Settlement Conference Statement via email to EFBorders@caed.uscourts.gov. The parties may agree, or not, to serve each other with the Confidential Settlement Conference Statements. The Confidential Settlement Conference Statements shall not be filed with the clerk and shall not otherwise be disclosed to the trial judge. However, each party shall e-file a one-page document entitled "Notice of Submission of Confidential Settlement Conference Statement."

Any challenges based on <u>Daubert v. Merrell Dow</u>

<u>Pharmaceuticals, Inc.</u>, 509 U.S. 579 (1993), and <u>Kumho Tire Co. v.</u>

<u>Carmichael</u>, 526 U.S. 137 (1999), will be raised and resolved outside the presence of the jury just prior to when the challenged expert will be called to give testimony. Any challenged expert shall be present for such a challenge, and shall be available for questioning.

XV. Evidence Presentation Equipment

If any party feels that electronic presentation is necessary, they should be prepared to operate the courtroom's equipment or bring their own audio-visual equipment to the courtroom and be prepared to operate it themselves.

Dated: July 30, 2018

WILLIAM B. SHUBB

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Exhibit A: Plaintiff's Witnesses

Plaintiff reserves the right to call (or not call) any witness listed. Plaintiff also reserves the right to call witness not listed for the purpose of document authentication and/or for rebuttal purposes only.

On December 1, 2017, plaintiff disclosed Serge Mysin, Certified Public Accountant, as its expert witness on the issue of inappropriate and undocumented personal transactions engaged in by defendant Archbold. On December 1, 2017, defendant Archbold was served with a copy of Mr. Mysin's written report dated November 30, 2017. Mr. Mysin's testimony will support plaintiff Rackwise's termination of Archbold for cause, as well as claims against Archbold for fraud, breach of fiduciary duty and conversion.

WITNESS	SUBJECT OF INFORMATION
Guy Archbold, C/O Counsel for Defendant	As the former Chairman, President and CEO of Rackwise, Inc., and defendant in this case, Mr. Archbold has extensive information as to his actions and omissions leading to all aspects of his liability and breach of his fiduciary duty to Rackwise in this case.
Patrick Imeson, C/O Counsel for Plaintiff	As Interim Chief Restructuring Officer of Rackwise, Inc., Principal of Rackwise Funding II, LLC, a Rackwise shareholder, and Member of the Board of Directors of Rackwise, Inc., Mr. Imeson can testify as to improper actions and omissions by Mr. Archbold that harmed Rackwise resulting in damages and can testify as to the breach of various fiduciary duties Mr. Archbold owed to Rackwise. Mr. Imeson can also testify regarding specific financial and economic harms that were done to Rackwise by Archbold in concert with his illegitimate board of directors.

_		
1	Dwight Richert, C/O Counsel for	As Principal of Triple R-F, LLC, a Rackwise shareholder, and principal of Richert
2	Plaintiff	Funding, financier of Rackwise, Inc., Mr. Richert can testify as to improper actions
3		and omissions by Mr. Archbold that harmed Rackwise resulting in damages and can
4		testify as to the breach of various
5		fiduciary duties Mr. Archbold owed to Rackwise. Mr. Richert can also testify
6		regarding specific financial and economic harms that were done to Rackwise by
7		Archbold in concert with his illegitimate board of directors.
8	Bart Richert,	As a Member of the Board of Directors of
9	C/O Counsel for Plaintiff	Rackwise, Inc., Mr. Richert can testify as to improper actions and omissions by Mr.
10		Archbold that harmed Rackwise resulting in damages and can testify as to the breach of
11		various fiduciary duties Mr. Archbold owed to Rackwise.
12	Michael Feinberg,	As a Member of the Board of Directors of
13	C/O Counsel for Plaintiff	Rackwise, Inc., Mr. Feinberg can testify as to improper actions and omissions by Mr. Archbold that harmed Rackwise resulting in
14		damages and can testify as to the breach of
15		various fiduciary duties Mr. Archbold owed to Rackwise.
16	Emmett DeMoss,	As Chairman Emeritus and founder of
17	C/O Counsel for Plaintiff	Rackwise, Inc., Mr. DeMoss can testify as to improper actions and omissions by Mr.
18		Archbold that harmed Rackwise resulting in damages and can testify as to the breach of
19		various fiduciary duties Mr. Archbold owed to Rackwise.
20	Doug MacRae,	As Executive Vice President of the
21	C/O Counsel for Plaintiff	Technology Group at Rackwise, Inc., Mr. MacRae can testify as to improper actions
22		and omissions by Mr. Archbold that harmed Rackwise resulting in damages and can
23		testify as to the breach of various fiduciary duties Mr. Archbold owed to
24		Rackwise.
	·	

1 2 3	Sherman Henderson, C/O Counsel for Defendant	As an illegitimate director of Rackwise, Inc. acting in concert with Guy Archbold, Mr. Henderson has knowledge regarding improper actions and omissions by Mr. Archbold that harmed Rackwise resulting in
4		damages and can testify as to the breach of various fiduciary duties Mr. Archbold owed to Rackwise.
5	Jay Schiffman,	As an illegitimate director of Rackwise,
6	C/O Counsel for Defendant	Inc. acting in concert with Guy Archbold, Mr. Schiffman has knowledge regarding
7	berendane	improper actions and omissions by Mr. Archbold that harmed Rackwise resulting in
8		damages and can testify as to the breach of various fiduciary duties Mr. Archbold owed
9		to Rackwise.
10	Craig Whited, C/O Counsel for	As an illegitimate director of Rackwise, Inc. acting in concert with Guy Archbold,
11	Defendant	Mr. Whited has knowledge regarding improper actions and omissions by Mr. Archbold that
12		harmed Rackwise resulting in damages and can testify as to the breach of various
13 14		fiduciary duties Mr. Archbold owed to Rackwise.
15	John Kyees, C/O Counsel for	As an illegitimate director of Rackwise, Inc. acting in concert with Guy Archbold,
16	Defendant	Mr. Kyees has knowledge regarding improper actions and omissions by Mr. Archbold that
17		harmed Rackwise resulting in damages and can testify as to the breach of various
18		fiduciary duties Mr. Archbold owed to Rackwise.
19	John Todd,	As an illegitimate director of Rackwise,
20	C/O Counsel for Defendant	Inc. acting in concert with Guy Archbold, Mr. Todd has knowledge regarding improper
21		actions and omissions by Mr. Archbold that harmed Rackwise resulting in damages and
22		can testify as to the breach of various fiduciary duties Mr. Archbold owed to
23		Rackwise.

1	Jonathan Shechter,	As a New York attorney purporting to
_	Foley Shechter LLP	represent Rackwise, Inc. under the
2	211 E. 43 rd St.	direction of Guy Archbold, Mr. Schechter
	Suite 609	has knowledge regarding the legal actions
3	New York, NY 10001	and omissions by Mr. Archbold that harmed
	(212) 335-0465	Rackwise resulting in damages and the
4		breach of various fiduciary duties Mr.
		Archbold owed to Rackwise. Rackwise waives
5		any attorney-client privilege as to Foley
_		Schecter LLP.
6		
-	Sasha Ablovatskiy,	As a New York attorney purporting to
7	Foley Shechter LLP	represent Rackwise, Inc. under the
0	211 E. 43 rd St.	direction of Guy Archbold, Ms. Ablovatskiy
8	Suite 609	has knowledge regarding the legal actions
9	New York, NY 10001	and omissions by Mr. Archbold that harmed
9	(212) 335-0465	Rackwise resulting in damages and can
10		testify as to the breach of various fiduciary duties Mr. Archbold owed to
10		Rackwise, Rackwise waives any attorney-
11		client privilege as to Foley & Shechter.
		crienc privilege as to roley a shechter.
12	Richard A. Ivers,	As a Florida attorney purporting to
	Law Office of	represent Rackwise, Inc. under the
13	Richard A. Ivers	direction of Guy Archbold, Mr. Ivers has
	7451 Wiles Road	knowledge regarding the legal actions and
14	Suite 101	omissions by Mr. Archbold that harmed
	Coral Springs, FL	Rackwise resulting in damages and can
15	33067	testify as to the breach of various
	(954) 757-6262	fiduciary duties Mr. Archbold owed to
16	,	Rackwise, since Rackwise waives any
		attorney-client privilege as to Foley &
17		Shechter.
18	Dorella Sanakidis,	As an Administrative Assistant, Rackwise,
	C/O Counsel for	Inc., Ms. Sanakidis has knowledge regarding
19	Plaintiff	improper actions and omissions by Mr.
		Archbold that harmed Rackwise resulting in
20		damages and the breach of various fiduciary
0.1		duties Mr. Archbold owed to Rackwise.
21		
0.0	Prakash Trevedi,	As a UNISYS Senior Manager, Mr. Trevedi has
22	C/O Elizabeth	knowledge regarding improper actions and
22	Parker	omissions by Mr. Archbold that harmed
23	Assistant General	Rackwise with UNISYS resulting in damages
2.4	Counsel	and the breach of various fiduciary duties
24	Unisys Corporation	Mr. Archbold owed to Rackwise.
25	Unisys Way	
د ک	Bluebell, PA 19424	
26	(215) 986-5541	
- 1		

1	Venkatapathi	As a President of UNISYS Federal Group, Ms.
	Puvvada,	Puvvada has knowledge regarding improper
2	C/O Elizabeth	actions and omissions by Mr. Archbold that
3	Parker Assistant General Counsel	harmed Rackwise with UNISYS resulting in damages and the breach of various fiduciary duties Mr. Archbold owed to Rackwise.
4	Unisys Corporation	ductes Mr. Archbord owed to Rackwise.
	Unisys Way	
5	Bluebell, PA 19424	
6	(215) 986-5541	
	Stacey Lovelace,	As a friend and personal assistant to Guy
7	102 Flint Rock	Archbold, Ms. Lovelace has knowledge
8	Court	regarding the actions and omissions by Mr.
٥	Folsom, CA 95630 (916) 591-2111	Archbold that harmed Rackwise resulting in damages and the breach of various fiduciary
9	(910) 391-2111	duties Mr. Archbold owed to Rackwise. She can demonstrate the personal
10		misappropriation of funds that were
		specifically directed toward her.
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		

Exhibit B: Defendant's Witnesses

Defendant reserves the right to call witnesses not listed for purposes of impeachment or document authentication.

4			
5 6 7 8	1.	Guy Archbold, who may be contacted through his counsel of record.	Mr. Archbold is expected to have knowledge of his employment with Plaintiff, the ongoing operations of Rackwise, Inc., the makeup of its incumbent Board of Directors, and the terms of warrants and Series A Preferred Stock issued by Rackwise, Inc.
9	2.	John Kyees, who may	Mr. Kyees is expected to have
10		be contacted through counsel of record for	knowledge of his membership on the Rackwise incumbent Board of
11		Defendant.	Directors, the ongoing operations of Rackwise, Inc., as well as the
12			events and circumstances surrounding the "Special Meeting" of the Board
13			of Directors on February 2 and 3, 2017.
14	3.	Sherman Henderson,	Mr. Henderson is expected to have
15		III, who may be contacted through	knowledge of his membership on the Rackwise incumbent Board of
16		counsel of record for Defendant.	Directors, the ongoing operations of Rackwise, Inc., as well as the
17			events and circumstances surrounding the "Special Meeting" of the Board
18			of Directors on February 2 and 3, 2017.
19	4.	Sasha Ablovatskiy,	Mr. Ablovatskiy is expected to have
20		who may be contacted through counsel of	knowledge of the fundamentals of the Rackwise, Inc.'s corporate Bylaws,
21		record for Defendant.	the terms of exercise of warrants issue by Rackwise, Inc. to Triple R-
22			F, LLC, and Rackwise Funding II, LLC, and the terms of the Series A
23			Preferred Stock issue by Rackwise, INc. as well as the federal
24			securities laws and regulations and Nevada corporate laws applicable to
25			Rackwise, Inc., operating as a publicly traded company.
26			

1	5.	Patrick Imeson,	Mr. Imeson is expected to have
2		address and phone number unknown to	knowledge of the circumstances surrounding his investment in
3		Defendant, but known to Plaintiff.	Rackwise, Inc., the facts and circumstances surrounding the
4			"Special Meeting" of the Board of Directors on February 2 and 3, 2017,
5			the alleged exercise of the warrants on March 22, 2017, Defendant's
6			termination, and the ongoing operations of Plaintiff from
7			February 2, 2017.
8	6.	Bart Richert, address and phone number	Mr. Richert is expected to have knowledge of the circumstances
9		unknown to Defendant, but known to	surrounding his investment in Rackwise, Inc., the facts and
10		Plaintiff.	circumstances surrounding the "Special Meeting" of the Board of
11			Directors on February 2 and 3, 2017, the alleged exercise of the warrants
12			on March 22, 2017, Defendant's termination, and the ongoing
13			operations of Plaintiff from February 2, 2017.
14	7.	Dwight Richert,	Mr. Richert is expected to have
15		address and phone number unknown to	knowledge of the circumstances surrounding his investment in
16		Defendant, but known to Plaintiff.	Rackwise, Inc., the facts and circumstances surrounding the
17			"Special Meeting" of the Board of Directors on February 2 and 3, 2017,
18			the alleged exercise of the warrants on March 22, 2017, Defendant's
19			termination, and the ongoing operations of Plaintiff from
20			February 2, 2017.
21	8.	Michael Feinberg, address and phone	Mr. Feinberg is expected to have knowledge of the circumstances
22		number unknown to Defendant, but known	surrounding his investment in Rackwise, Inc., the facts and
23		to Plaintiff.	circumstances surrounding the "Special Meeting" of the Board of
24			Directors on February 2 and 3, 2017, the alleged exercise of the warrants
25			on March 22, 2017, Defendant's termination, and the ongoing
26			operations of Plaintiff from February 2, 2017.
27			

	Stacey Lovelace, 102 Flint Rock Court Folsom, CA 95630 (916) 591-2111	Ms. Lovelace will testify to any duties she performed on behalf of Plaintiff and whether she was compensated by Defendant for such services.
ŀ		

Exhibit C: Plaintiff's Exhibits

6	NO.2 DESCRIPTION	
7	1	Bylaws of Visual Network Design, Inc.
8	2	Subscription Agreements Rackwise-Purchasers:
9		Subscription Agreement dated May 7, 2014 by and between Rackwise, Inc. and Rackwise Funding II, LLC.
10		
11		Warrants to Purchase Common Stock effective May 7, 2014 issued by Rackwise, Inc. to Rackwise Funding II, LLC.
12		Warrants to Purchase Common Stock effective October 7, 2015 issued by Rackwise, Inc. to Triple R-F, LLC.
13	_	
14	3	SEC Form 8-K [February 15, 2017]
15	4	SEC Form 8-K [March 20, 2017]
16	5	Action by Written Consent of Rackwise Shareholders [March 23, 2017]
17 18	6	March 26, 2017 letter from Gavrilov & Brooks law firm, Rackwise attorney Ognian Gavrilov, to opposing counsel Randall J. Paulson
19	7	April 5, 2017 email message from Patrick Imeson to
20		Rackwise board and counsel forwarding Archbold's April 5, 2017 letter
21	8	April 14, 2017 email message from Rackwise counsel
22		Ognian Gavrilov to Rackwise Board forwarding Archbold's April 13, 2017 letter
23	9	April 5, 2017 email message from Doug MacRae to some
24		Rackwise board members and counsel forwarding Archbold's April 14, 2017 email message to Doug MacRae
25	10	SEC Form 8-K [April 14, 2017]
26		

Numbers listed here are for the convenience of the court. Plaintiff may use other numbers in marking exhibits for trial.

1	11	Documentation of Michael Hawes, C.P.A., an accountant retained by Defendant Archbold on behalf of Rackwise,
2		estimating a net loss of \$7,618,336 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014.
3	12	Documentation showing that during Defendant Archbold's
4	12	tenure as the Chief Executive Officer and President of Rackwise, Rackwise sustained a net loss of \$9,593,685
5 6		for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012, a net loss of \$6,482,307 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, and a net loss of \$2,828,892 for the period
7		January 1-March 31, 2014.
8	13	Tax lien and levy of approximately \$1,567,000 in connection with the unpaid payroll taxes for the third
9		and fourth quarters of 2012, and the first and second quarters of 2013.
10	14	Default Notice under a factoring agreement with its secured lender Richert Funding in the approximate sum
11		of \$12,000,000.
12	15	Default Notices on secured promissory notes to Rackwise Funding II (outstanding indebtedness of more than
13		\$8,000,000) and several other unsecured promissory notes (outstanding balance of more than \$608,000).
14		
15 16	16	Documentation of Rackwise's default on obligations and eventual termination of contract with Intel Corporation under Archbold's leadership.
10	17	Record of Mr. Archbold's conviction/guilty plea in El
17 18	17	Dorado County (California) Superior Court to a criminal violation of California Revenue and Taxation Code 19706 relating to his failure to file personal tax returns.
19		
20	18	Eviction Notices for defaulted office leases in North Carolina and California.
21	19	Alleged Employment Agreement of Archbold with Rackwise dated September 30, 2011.
22	20	Rackwise employee, Dorella Sanakidis', documentation of
23		approximately \$400,000 in misappropriation of company funds by Defendant Archbold.
24	21	The Minutes of the February 2, 2017 Rackwise Board of
25		Directors' Meeting terminating Guy Archbold as a director and officer of Rackwise, for cause.
26		

1	22	The Minutes of the February 3, 2017 Rackwise Board of Directors' Meeting ratifying and approving the
2		termination Guy Archbold as a director and officer of
3		Rackwise, for cause, and electing Patrick Imeson as Interim Chief Restructuring Officer of Rackwise.
4	23	The Minutes of the March 23, 2017 Shareholders Meeting
5	23	removing Defendant Archbold and Sherman Henderson as
		members of the Rackwise Board of Directors and appointing Patrick Imeson and Bart Richert as directors
6		of Rackwise.
7	24	November 30, 2017 expert witness report of Serge Mysin, C.P.A., concluding that Defendant Archbold engaged in
8		\$384,886.62 in questionable transactions involving
9		Rackwise funds.
10	25	April 18, 2017 notices from the SEC that an individual (Archbold) was attempting to reset Rackwise's password
11		to the electronic system so as to seize control of Rackwise's account.
12	26	Company credit card records showing Archbold converted approximately \$70,000 between October, 2015 and
13		February, 2017 for personal uses.
14	27	Business account records showing Archbold took in excess of \$185,000 in so-called cash advances, and then
15		attempted to claim that such funds were for "business
16		expenses."
17	28	Compensation records showing that Archbold overpaid himself as purported employee compensation, without
18		authorization, approximately \$70,000 in 2015 and 2016.
19	29	Corporate expense records showing that Archbold used
		corporate funds, without authorization from the Board of Directors, and without any legitimate business
20		purpose, to provide economic benefit and assistance to his friend and personal assistant Stacey Lovelace
21		("Lovelace") in an amount exceeding \$60,000.
22	30	Corporate records showing that in 2016, Archbold caused
23		payments from customers in excess of \$23,000 to be withheld from Rackwise accounts, and instead used these
24		funds for himself and/or Lovelace.
25	31	Corporate records showing that Archbold has converted in excess of \$400,000 of Rackwise funds for his own
26		personal use, to the detriment of Rackwise, without The
27		Board's knowledge, authorization, or consent, and to the detriment of the corporation for which Archbold
		owed a fiduciary duty.
28		

1 2	32	All Exhibits attached to all declarations in both support and in opposition to the preliminary injunction.
3 4	33	Exhibits attached to Defendant's Motion to Compel Arbitration.
5	34	Exhibits attached to Defendant's Motion for Contempt.
6	35	All of the Resolutions from the Rackwise Corporate Minutes Book.
7	36	Rackwise's Corporate Bylaws.
8	37	Rackwise's Articles of Incorporation.
9	38	Various pages of Rackwise's website/webpage.
10	39	Additional correspondence to defendant (including letters, and emails) - various documents concerning
12		claims and issues in the instant lawsuit, including but not limited to, cease and desist demands.
13	40	Additional correspondence (including letters, emails, and memoranda) - various documents involving defendant
14		as either a sender or a recipient, to Rackwise shareholders, board members, employees, customers, as
15		well as Security and Exchange commission personnel and various other third-parties.
16	41	Additional correspondence (including letters, emails, and memoranda) - various documents between and among
17 18		employees and/or officers or shareholders of Rackwise concerning defendant's actions and damages related thereto.
19	42	Corporate and Administrative Records - various
20		documents relating to the operation and administration of Rackwise, including corporate governance and
21		operation, corporate formation and implementation of policies, business and business planning information,
22		board of director and officer records and employee governance and human resource materials.
23	43	Advertising and Outreach Materials - various documents relating to the operation of the Rackwise business and
24		corporate governance.
25 26	44	Financial Records - various documents in relation to the financial operation and administration of Rackwise,
26		including bookkeeping and accounting information, independent auditor information and various financial reports.
28		_

1	45	Additional Securities and Exchange Commission Records -
2		various documents demonstrating the numerous improper filing and submissions to the SEC by Archbold and/or his agents purportedly on behalf of Rackwise.
3	46	Rackwise Requests for Admission, Set One, dated
4	10	November 17, 2017.
5	47	Archbold Responses to Requests for Admissions, Set One, dated December 18, 2017.
6	4.0	Deally is The consense of the One dated Narrowhere 17
7	48	Rackwise Interrogatories, Set One, dated November 17, 2017.
8	49	Archbold Responses to Interrogatories, Set One, dated December 18, 2017.
9		December 10, 2017.
10	50	Rackwise Request for Production of Documents, Set One, dated November 17, 2017.
11	51	Archbold Responses to Request for Production of
12		Documents, Set One, dated December 18, 2017.
13	52	Transcript of February 28, 2018 Deposition of Sasha Ablovatskiy, including all exhibits marked and/or
14		referenced therein.
15	53	Transcript of March 6, 2018 Deposition of Sasha Ablovatskiy, including all exhibits marked and/or
16		referenced therein.
17	54	Transcript of January 22, 2018 Deposition of Guy Archbold, including all exhibits referenced therein.
18		
19	55	Emails authored by Defendant Archbold to Patrick Imeson, Dwight Richert, and other declared witnesses in
		the litigation including but not limited to Sasha Ablovatskiy, Jonathan Shechter, Sherman Henderson, John
20		Kyees, John Todd, Jay Schiffman, Craig Whited, and
21		Stacey Lovelace. This is related to Exhibit D: Defendant's Exhibits, at 24:2-24:4.
22	56	All email communications between Defendant Archbold and
23		UNISYS Corporation. This is related to Exhibit D: Defendant's Exhibits, "business partners," at 23:9-
24		23:10.
٠ ـ		

Exhibit D: Defendant's Exhibits

Defendant adopts by reference all documents listed in Plaintiff's Pre-trial statement and adds the following exhibits to the extent that they are not otherwise identified in Plaintiff's schedule of exhibits.

6

7

1

2.

3

4

5

DESCRIPTION

- Defendant's Employment Agreement.
- Termination letter to Defendant.

9

10

8

- Email correspondence between Defendant and RACKWISE shareholders, business partners, investors, and Directors during and post-employment status.
- 11
- Corporate by-laws in effect at the time of Defendant's tenure.

12

13

All Exhibits-documents attached to all motions or Requests for Judicial Notice submitted to this court pertaining to this litigation.

14

All declarations attached to all motions or Requests for Judicial Notice submitted to this court pertaining to this litigation.

16

15

All filings with the SEC regarding Rackwise, Inc.

17

18

Corporate minutes regarding purported Special Meetings held on February 2, 3, 2017, by the purported Rackwise Board of Directors.

19

Special Interrogatories propounded on Plaintiff by Defendant.

20

Plaintiff's Response to Special Interrogatories propounded on it by Defendant.

2122

Request for Production of Documents propounded on Plaintiff by Defendant.

23

Plaintiff's Response to Request for Production of Documents propounded on it by Defendant.

24

All documents pertaining to the FLORIDA LITIGATION.

26

All correspondence generated by defendant's counsel pertaining to the business of RASKWISE from February 2, 2017 to the present.

Certificate of Designation of Series A Preferred Stock.

All documents sent by or received by defendant from any RACKWISE shareholder, investor, employee, director, or other party regarding the business of RACKWISE during defendant's tenure with RACKWISE.