1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	RICHARD JOSE DUPREE, JR.,	No. 2:17-cv-0803 CKD P	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	v.	ORDER	
14	INTEL SATELLITE ORGANIZATION,		
15	Defendant.		
16			
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant to 42		
18	U.S.C. § 1983 along with an application to proceed in forma pauperis. See 28 U.S.C. §§ 1914(a),		
19	1915(a).		
20	28 U.S.C. § 1915 permits any court of the United States to authorize the commencement		
21	and prosecution of any suit without prepayment of fees by a person who submits an affidavit		
22	indicating that the person is unable to pay such fees. However,		
23	[i]n no event shall a prisoner bring a civil action or appeal a indement in a civil action or proceeding under this section if the		
24	judgment in a civil action or proceeding under this section if the prisoner has, on 3 or more prior occasions, while incarcerated or detained in any facility, brought an action or appeal in a court of the		
25	United States that was dismissed on the grounds that it is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim upon which relief may be		
26	granted, unless the prisoner physical injury.	is under imminent danger of serious	
27	28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).		
28		1	

1	Court records indicate that plaintiff has been deemed a "Three Strikes" inmate under 28	
2	U.S.C. § 1915(g). Dupree v. U. S. Copyright Office, No. 2:11-cv-1700 WBS KJN, Order dated	
3	July 28, 2011 (adopting June 30, 2011 findings and recommendations and deeming dismissal for	
4	frivolousness plaintiff's third strike). The court takes judicial notice of the two other cases	
5	identified therein as § 1915(g) strikes against plaintiff, which were dismissed as frivolous or for	
6	failure to state a claim. <sup>1</sup>	
7	The imminent danger applies only if it is clear that the danger existed when the complaint	
8	was filed. Andrews v. Cervantes, 493 F.3d 1047, 1053 (9th Cir. 2007). Allegations of imminent	
9	danger that are overly speculative or fanciful may be rejected. Id. at 1057, n.11. Having	
10	reviewed the complaint, the undersigned finds that plaintiff has not credibly alleged "imminent	
11	danger of serious physical injury" under § 1915(g).	
12	In light of the above, plaintiff will be granted fourteen days to pay the filing fee in this	
13	action; otherwise, it will be dismissed.	
14	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
15	1. Plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis (ECF No. 2) is denied; and	
16	2. Plaintiff shall pay the \$400 filing fee no later than fourteen days from the date of this	
17	order. Failure to comply with this order will result in dismissal of this action.	
18	Dated: April 27, 2017 Carop U. Delany	
19	CAROLYN K. DELANEY UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
20	UNITED STATES MADISTRATE JUDGE	
21		
22		
23		
24	2 / dupr0803.threestrikes	
25		
26		
27	<sup>1</sup> <u>Dupree v. United States District Court</u> , No. 2:11-cv-0263 DAD (ECF No. 10); <u>Dupree v.</u>	
28	<u>Santiago, et al.</u> , No. 2:11-cv-0309 EFB (ECF No. 7). 2	