

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RICHARD JOSE DUPREE, JR.,
Plaintiff,
v.
INTEL SATELLITE ORGANIZATION,
Defendant.

No. 2:17-cv-0803 CKD P
ORDER AND FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS

By an order filed April 27, 2017, plaintiff was denied leave to proceed in forma pauperis and ordered to pay the \$400.00 filing fee within fourteen days. (ECF No. 4.) He was further cautioned that failure to pay the fee would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. (Id.) Fourteen days have now passed and plaintiff has not paid the filing fee or otherwise responded to the court’s order.

In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is directed to assign a district judge to this case; and


IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned “Objections

1 to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file
2 objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order.

3 Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

4 Dated: June 13, 2017



CAROLYN K. DELANEY
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

7 13:dupr0803.fifp.f&r

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28