

1 Colin H. Murray (State Bar No. 159142)
 2 colin.murray@bakermckenzie.com
 3 Anne M. Kelts (State Bar No. 298710)
 4 anne.kelts@bakermckenzie.com
 5 **BAKER & McKENZIE LLP**
 Two Embarcadero Center, 11th Floor
 San Francisco, CA 94111-3802
 Telephone: +1 415 576 3000
 Facsimile: +1 415 576 3099

6 John E. Joiner (admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)
 7 jjoiner@wc.com
 8 William P. Ashworth (admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)
 9 washworth@wc.com
 10 **WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP**
 725 Twelfth Street, N.W.
 Washington, D.C. 20005
 Telephone: +1 202 434 5000
 Facsimile: +1 202 434 5029

11 Attorneys for Defendants
 12 SPRINT SOLUTIONS, INC. and
 NEXTEL OF CALIFORNIA, INC.
 13 [Additional counsel on signature page]

14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 15 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 16 SACRAMENTO DIVISION

17 CITY OF LOS ANGELES, *ex rel.* RICHARD
 18 KNUDSEN,

19 Plaintiffs,

20 vs.

21 SPRINT SOLUTIONS, INC.; NEXTEL OF
 22 CALIFORNIA, INC., D/B/A NEXTEL
 COMMUNICATIONS AND SPRINT
 23 NEXTEL; and DOES 1-10,

24 Defendants.

Case No. 2:17-cv-00811-TLN-AC

**Date Action Was Transferred from
 Central District of California:
 April 18, 2017**

**JOINT STIPULATION AND ORDER
 EXTENDING TIME TO RESPOND**

**Judge: Hon. Troy L. Nunley
 Courtroom: 2, 15th Floor**

Trial Date: None set

1 **WHEREAS** on October 29, 2019, the Court granted in part and denied in part the Motion to
2 Dismiss Plaintiff City of Los Angeles’s Consolidated Complaint in Intervention filed by Defendants
3 Sprint Solutions, Inc. and Nextel of California, Inc., D/B/A Nextel Communications and Sprint
4 Nextel (hereinafter “Sprint”) (Order of ECF No. 99); and

5 **WHEREAS** on November 7, 2019, the Court entered a Minute Order on the Docket
6 directing that “Plaintiff shall file an amended complaint in compliance with the Court’s order [on the
7 Motion to Dismiss] not later than fourteen (14) days from the date of this Minute Order”;

8 **WHEREAS** this is the second Stipulation in the case requesting an extension of time to
9 respond to the complaint, the first such stipulation having been filed on December 1, 2016, and
10 granted by order of December 5, 2016;

11 **IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED** by and between the parties and their undersigned counsel
12 that the time for Sprint to respond be and hereby is extended as follows:

- 13 1. If Plaintiff files an amended complaint in compliance with the Court’s minute order,
14 Sprint shall answer, move, or otherwise respond to the Amended Consolidated
15 Complaint in Intervention by no later than thirty (30) days from the date of the filing
16 of the Amended Consolidated Complaint in Intervention.
- 17 2. If Plaintiff does not file an amended complaint, Sprint shall answer the Consolidated
18 Complaint in Intervention by no later than December 13, 2019.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Dated: November 12, 2019

BAKER & McKENZIE LLP
Colin H. Murray
Anne M. Kelts

ERISE IP, P.A.
Mark McGrory

WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP
John E. Joiner
William P. Ashworth

By: /s/ William P. Ashworth
William P. Ashworth

*Attorneys for Defendants
Sprint Solutions, Inc. and
Nextel of California, Inc.*

Dated: November 12, 2019

COTCHETT, PITRE & McCARTHY LLP
Niall P. McCarthy
Eric J. Buescher

By: /s/ Eric J. Buescher
Eric J. Buescher

Attorneys for Plaintiff City of Los Angeles

ATTESTATION

I, William P. Ashworth, attest that I am one of the attorneys for the Sprint Defendants, and as the ECF user and filer of this document, I attest that the authorization and the concurrence in the filing of this document were obtained from its signatories on November 12, 2019.

Date: November 12, 2019


/s/ William P. Ashworth
William P. Ashworth

1 **CITY OF LOS ANGELES, ex rel. RICHARD KNUDSEN v. SPRINT SOLUTIONS, INC.,**
2 **U.S. District Court For The Eastern District Of California - Case No. 2:17-CV-0811-TLN-AC**

3
4 **ORDER**

5 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

6 Date: November 13, 2019



7 Troy L. Nunley
8 United States District Judge