

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BOBBY BEJARANO,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
DAVID BAUGHMAN, et al.,  
Defendants.

No. 2:17-cv-0812 JAM DB P

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS


Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This proceeding was referred to this court by Local Rule 302 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). On August 11, 2017, plaintiff’s complaint was dismissed for failure to state a claim. Leave to amend was granted, and an amended pleading was due on or before September 11, 2017. That date has now passed, and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court’s order.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned

1 “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” Plaintiff is advised that  
2 failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District  
3 Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

4 Dated: October 30, 2017

5  
6   
7 DEBORAH BARNES  
8 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

9 /DLB7;  
10 DB/Inbox/Routine/beja0812.fta

11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28