

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BOBBY BEJARANO,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
DAVID BAUGHMAN, et al.,  
Defendants.

No. 2:17-cv-0812 JAM DB P

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

By an order filed June 12, 2017, plaintiff was ordered to file a completed in forma pauperis affidavit and a certified copy of his prison trust account statement or pay the \$400 filing fee in full. He was cautioned that failure to do so would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. The thirty-day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not responded to the court’s order and has not filed the required documents.

In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” Any response to the

1 objections shall be filed and served within fourteen days after service of the objections. The  
2 parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to  
3 appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

4 Dated: July 26, 2017

5  
6   
7 DEBORAH BARNES  
8 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

9 /DLB7;  
10 DB/Inbox/Routine/beja0812.fifp

11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28