

1 LYNNE C. HERMLE (STATE BAR NO. 99779)
 lchermle@orrick.com
 2 ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
 1000 Marsh Road
 3 Menlo Park, CA 94025-1015
 Telephone: 650 614 7400
 4 Facsimile: 650 614 7401

5 JULIE A. TOTTEN (STATE BAR NO. 166470)
 jatotten@orrick.com
 6 LEO MONIZ (STATE BAR NO. 285571)
 lmoniz@orrick.com
 7 ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
 400 Capitol Mall, Suite 3000
 8 Sacramento, CA 95814-4497
 Telephone: 916 447 9200
 9 Facsimile: 916 329 4900

10 Attorneys for Defendant
 GENENTECH, INC.

11
 12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 13 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 14

15 TIMOTHY PRUITT,
 16 Plaintiff,
 17 v.
 18 GENENTECH, INC.; AND DOES 1
 THROUGH 10, INCLUSIVE,
 19 Defendants.
 20

Case No. 2:17-CV-00822-JAM-AC

**ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT
 GENENTECH, INC.’s REQUEST TO
 SEAL DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED IN
 SUPPORT OF REPLY IN SUPPORT OF
 MOTION FOR SUMMARY
 JUDGMENT, OR, IN THE
 ALTERNATIVE, PARTIAL SUMMARY
 JUDGMENT**

Date: January 8, 2019
 Time: 1:30 p.m.
 Courtroom: 6, 14th floor
 Judge: Hon. John A. Mendez

Date Action Filed: April 19, 2017
 Trial Date: April 1, 2019

1 Having considered Defendant Genentech, Inc.’s (“Genentech”) Request to Seal
2 Documents Submitted in Support of Reply in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment, or, in
3 the Alternative, Partial Summary Judgment (Genentech’s “Request”), the materials lodged
4 therewith, and the other papers and pleadings on file herein, the Court hereby finds that there are
5 compelling reasons to grant Genentech’s Request.

6 Accordingly, Genentech’s Request is GRANTED, as follows. The following documents,
7 which Genentech lodged with its Request, may be filed on the public docket with redactions, as
8 reflected in the proposed redacted documents lodged with Genentech’s Request, while the
9 unredacted versions shall be permanently sealed:

- 10 • Defendant Genentech, Inc.’s Reply in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment, or,
11 in the Alternative, Partial Summary Judgment;
- 12 • Defendant Genentech, Inc.’s Response to Plaintiff’s Statement of Disputed Facts in
13 Support of Plaintiff’s Opposition to Defendant’s Motion for Summary Judgment, or,
14 in the Alternative, Partial Summary Judgment;
- 15 • Defendant Genentech, Inc.’s Objections to Evidence Submitted in Support of
16 Plaintiff’s Opposition to Defendant’s Motion for Summary Judgment, or, in the
17 Alternative, Partial Summary Judgment; and
- 18 • Exhibit B to the Supplemental Declaration of Julie A. Totten in Support of Defendant
19 Genentech, Inc.’s Reply in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment, or, in the
20 Alternative, Partial Summary Judgment.

21 The Clerk shall file under seal the documents that Genentech lodged with its Request.
22 Access to the sealed documents shall be limited to attorneys of record, parties, and Court
23 personnel.

24 Within seven (7) days of entry of this Order, Genentech shall file on the public docket
25 versions of the documents specified above with Genentech’s proposed redactions applied.

26 IT IS SO ORDERED.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Dated: 1/2/2019.

/s/ John A. Mendez

Honorable John A. Mendez
United States District Court Judge