UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
DWAYNE L. DILLMAN,	No. 2:17-cv-00826 MCE AC (PS)
Plaintiff,	
v.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
V. THAO,	
Defendant.	
Plaintiff is proceeding in this action pro se. The action was accordingly referred to the	
undersigned for pretrial matters by E.D. Cal. R. ("Local Rule") 302(c)(21). On April 3, 2018, the	
court dismissed the complaint, and granted plaintiff 30 days to file an amended complaint. ECF	
No. 14. Plaintiff was cautioned that failure to do so could lead to a recommendation that the	
action be dismissed. Plaintiff did not respond. On May 17, 2018 the court issued an order to	
show cause within 14 days why this case should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute. ECF	
No. 15. Plaintiff has not responded to the court's orders, nor taken any action to prosecute this	
case.	
Therefore, IT IS HEREBY RECOMM	ENDED that this action be dismissed, without
prejudice, for lack of prosecution and for failure to comply with the court's order. See Fed. R.	
	1
	1
	FOR THE EASTERN I DWAYNE L. DILLMAN, Plaintiff, v. V. THAO, Defendant. Plaintiff is proceeding in this action pr undersigned for pretrial matters by E.D. Cal. H court dismissed the complaint, and granted pla No. 14. Plaintiff was cautioned that failure to action be dismissed. Plaintiff did not respond show cause within 14 days why this case show No. 15. Plaintiff has not responded to the cou case. Therefore, IT IS HEREBY RECOMM

1	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge
2	assigned to this case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within twenty-one
3	(21) days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written
4	objections with the court. Such document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's
5	Findings and Recommendations." Local Rule 304(d). Plaintiff is advised that failure to file
6	objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order.
7	Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
8	DATED: July 9, 2018
9	allison claire
10	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	2