Pursuant to the court's scheduling order and Eastern District of California Local Rules, Appendix A, the time to do so has now expired. In addition, pursuant to the court's scheduling order, plaintiff is required to prosecute this action by either seeking voluntary remand or filing a dispositive motion within 45 days from the date of service of the administrative record by defendant. Plaintiff was warned that failure to comply may result in dismissal of this action for

23

24

25

26

Doc. 16

lack of prosecution and failure to comply with court rules and orders. See Local Rule 110. A review of the docket reflects that the answer and certified administrative record were served on October 3, 2017. To date, plaintiff has not filed a dispositive motion.

Plaintiff shall show cause in writing, within 30 days of the date of this order, why this action should not be dismissed for failure to inform the court regarding consent to Magistrate Judge jurisdiction, and for failure to file a dispositive motion, both as required by the court's scheduling order. Submission of a completed consent election form and dispositive motion shall constitute a sufficient response. The Clerk of the Court is directed to serve on plaintiff a copy of the court's form entitled "Consent to Assignment or Request for Reassignment." Plaintiff is warned that failure to respond to this order may result in the dismissal of the action for the reasons discussed above, as well as for failure to prosecute and comply with court rules and orders. See Local Rule 110.

NITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: January 16, 2018