1

I

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	TASHAYHA M. GILMER,No. 2:17-CV-0845-CMK
12	Plaintiff,
13	vs. <u>ORDER</u>
14	COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,
15	Defendant.
16	/
17	/
18	Plaintiff, who is proceeding with retained counsel, brings this action under
19	42 U.S.C. § 405(g) for judicial review of a final decision of the Commissioner of Social Security.
20	As of January 17, 20178, plaintiff had not informed the court regarding consent to
21	Magistrate Judge jurisdiction or filed a dispositive motion and the court ordered plaintiff to show
22	cause why this action should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution and failure to comply with
23	court rules and orders (see Docs. 16 and 19). A review of the docket reflects that plaintiff as now
24	///
25	///
26	///
	1

1	filed a consent election form (see Doc. 21) as well as a dispositive motion (see Doc. 25). The
2	orders to show cause are, therefore, discharged.
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.
4	
5	DATED: May 10, 2018
6	Losig M. Kellison
7	CRAIG M. KELLISON UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15 16	
10	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2

I

I