1	CREGGER & CHALFANT LLP	
2	ROBERT L. CHALFANT, SBN 203051 Email: rlc@creggerlaw.com	
3	WENDY MOTOOKA, SBN 233589 Email: wm@creggerlaw.com	
4	701 University Avenue, Suite 110 Sacramento, CA 95825	
5	Phone: 916.426-1889 Fax: 916.443-2124	
6	Attorneys for Defendant COUNTY OF	
7	SACRAMENTO	
8	JOHN L. BURRIS, SBN 69888	
9	Email: john.burris@johnburrislaw.com ADANTÉ D. POINTER, SBN 236229	
10	Email: adanté.pointer@johnburrislaw.com Melissa C. Nold, SBN 301378	
11	Email: melissa.nold@johnburrislaw.com LAW OFFICES OF JOHN L. BURRIS	
12	7677 Oakport Street, Suite 1120 Oakland, CA 94621 Telephone: (510) 839-5200	
13	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
1.4	Attorneys for Plaintiff NANDI CAIN JR.	
14		
15	UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT
		ES DISTRICT COURT RICT OF CALIFORNIA
15		
15 16	EASTERN DIST	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
15 16 17	EASTERN DISTINANDI CAIN JR., an individual,	RICT OF CALIFORNIA Case No.: 2:17-cv-00848 JAM DB STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO
15 16 17 18	EASTERN DISTINANDI CAIN JR., an individual, Plaintiff, vs. CITY OF SACRAMENTO, a municipal	RICT OF CALIFORNIA Case No.: 2:17-cv-00848 JAM DB
15 16 17 18 19	EASTERN DISTINANDI CAIN JR., an individual, Plaintiff, vs. CITY OF SACRAMENTO, a municipal corporation; COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, a municipal corporation;	Case No.: 2:17-cv-00848 JAM DB STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE RESPONSE TO COMPLAINT;
15 16 17 18 19 20	EASTERN DISTINANDI CAIN JR., an individual, Plaintiff, vs. CITY OF SACRAMENTO, a municipal corporation; COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, a municipal corporation; ANTHONY FIGUEROA, in his individual and official capacity as City of Sacramento	Case No.: 2:17-cv-00848 JAM DB STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE RESPONSE TO COMPLAINT;
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	EASTERN DISTINANDI CAIN JR., an individual, Plaintiff, vs. CITY OF SACRAMENTO, a municipal corporation; COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, a municipal corporation; ANTHONY FIGUEROA, in his individual and official capacity as City of Sacramento Police Officer; DOES 1 – 50, individually and in their official capacities as Police	Case No.: 2:17-cv-00848 JAM DB STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE RESPONSE TO COMPLAINT;
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Plaintiff, vs. CITY OF SACRAMENTO, a municipal corporation; COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, a municipal corporation; COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, a municipal corporation; ANTHONY FIGUEROA, in his individual and official capacity as City of Sacramento Police Officer; DOES 1 – 50, individually and in their official capacities as Police Officers for the City of Sacramento, jointly and severally; and DOES 1- 50,	Case No.: 2:17-cv-00848 JAM DB STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE RESPONSE TO COMPLAINT;
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Plaintiff, vs. CITY OF SACRAMENTO, a municipal corporation; COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, a municipal corporation; COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, a municipal corporation; ANTHONY FIGUEROA, in his individual and official capacity as City of Sacramento Police Officer; DOES 1 – 50, individually and in their official capacities as Police Officers for the City of Sacramento, jointly and severally; and DOES 1- 50, individually and in their official capacities as Deputy Sheriffs and/or employees for	Case No.: 2:17-cv-00848 JAM DB STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE RESPONSE TO COMPLAINT;
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Plaintiff, vs. CITY OF SACRAMENTO, a municipal corporation; COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, a municipal corporation; COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, a municipal corporation; ANTHONY FIGUEROA, in his individual and official capacity as City of Sacramento Police Officer; DOES 1 – 50, individually and in their official capacities as Police Officers for the City of Sacramento, jointly and severally; and DOES 1- 50, individually and in their official capacities	Case No.: 2:17-cv-00848 JAM DB STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE RESPONSE TO COMPLAINT;
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Plaintiff, VS. CITY OF SACRAMENTO, a municipal corporation; COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, a municipal corporation; COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, a municipal corporation; ANTHONY FIGUEROA, in his individual and official capacity as City of Sacramento Police Officer; DOES 1 – 50, individually and in their official capacities as Police Officers for the City of Sacramento, jointly and severally; and DOES 1- 50, individually and in their official capacities as Deputy Sheriffs and/or employees for the County of Sacramento, jointly and	Case No.: 2:17-cv-00848 JAM DB STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE RESPONSE TO COMPLAINT;
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Plaintiff, vs. CITY OF SACRAMENTO, a municipal corporation; COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, a municipal corporation; COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, a municipal corporation; ANTHONY FIGUEROA, in his individual and official capacity as City of Sacramento Police Officer; DOES 1 – 50, individually and in their official capacities as Police Officers for the City of Sacramento, jointly and severally; and DOES 1- 50, individually and in their official capacities as Deputy Sheriffs and/or employees for the County of Sacramento, jointly and severally,	Case No.: 2:17-cv-00848 JAM DB STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE RESPONSE TO COMPLAINT;

CREGGER & CHALFANT, LL 701 University Ave., #110 Sacramento, CA 95825 (916) 426-1889

STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE RESPONSE TO COMPLAINT; ORDER THEREON Case No. 2:17-CV-00848 JAM DB

	WHEREAS, on April 23, 2017, Plaintiff filed a Complaint for Violations of Civil Rights	
1 2	and Damages;	
3	WHEREAS, on May 11, 2017, Plaintiff served the Complaint on the Defendant County of	
4	Sacramento by serving the Sacramento County Board of Supervisors;	
5	WHEREAS, Defendant County of Sacramento's response to the Complaint is due to be	
6	filed June 1, 2017;	
7	WHEREAS, Robert L. Chalfant and the firm of Cregger & Chalfant LLP, were just	
8	retained to represent Defendant County of Sacramento and need additional time to research the	
9	allegations of the Complaint and prepare an appropriate response thereto;	
10	NOW THEREFORE, the parties through their attorneys stipulate as follows:	
11	1. Defendant County of Sacramento may have a two week extension of time from	
12	June 1, 2017, within which to file its response to Plaintiff's Complaint. Said response shall be	
13	due on or before June 15, 2017.	
14	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
15		
16	DATE: May 25, 2017 CREGGER & CHALFANT LLP	
17		
18	/s/ Robert L. Chalfant ROBERT L. CHALFANT	
19	Attorneys for Defendant COUNTY OF	
20	SACRAMENTO	
21	DATE: May 25, 2017 LAW OFFICES OF JOHN L. BURRIS	
22		
23	/s/ Adanté D. Pointer JOHN L. BURRIS	
24	ADANTÉ D. POINTER Attorneys for Plaintiff NANDI CAIN JR.	
25	7 Morneys for Flanking TV in the Crim's inc.	
26		
20		
27		

1	<u>ORDER</u>
2	GOOD CAUSE APPEARING THEREFOR, Defendant County of Sacramento may have
3	a two week extension of time within which to file a response to Plaintiff's Complaint.
4	Defendant's response will be due on or before June 15, 2017.
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.
6	
7	Date: 5/25/2017 /s/ John A. Mendez HON. JOHN A. MENDEZ
8	HON. JOHN A. MENDEZ UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	