

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

KARLIN WATTS,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
  
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, et  
al.,  
  
Defendants.

No. 2:17-cv-0852 JAM DB P

ORDER

Plaintiff is a former state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis with a civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff claims defendants violated his rights under the Eighth Amendment. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On October 3, 2019, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. (ECF No. 47.) Neither party has filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

Although it appears from the file that plaintiff’s copy of the findings and recommendations was returned, plaintiff was properly served. It is the plaintiff’s responsibility to keep the court apprised of his current address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service

1 of documents at the record address of the party is fully effective.

2 The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be  
3 supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY  
4 ORDERED that:

- 5 1. The findings and recommendations filed October 3, 2019, are adopted in full;
- 6 2. Defendants' motion to dismiss (ECF No. 45) is granted; and
- 7 3. This action is dismissed without prejudice.

8  
9 DATED: November 14, 2019

10 /s/ John A. Mendez  
11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28