1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	BENJAMIN JUSTIN BROWNLEE,	No. 2:17-cv-0872 KJM CKD P
11	Plaintiff,	
12	V.	<u>ORDER</u>
13	SCOTT JONES, et al.,	
14	Defendants.	
15		
16	Plaintiff has requested the appointment of counsel. District courts lack authority to	
17	require counsel to represent indigent prisoners in section 1983 cases. Mallard v. United States	
18	Dist. Court, 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). In exceptional circumstances, the court may request an	
19	attorney to voluntarily represent such a plaintiff. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1); Terrell v. Brewer,	
20	935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); Wood v. Housewright, 900 F.2d 1332, 1335-36 (9th Cir.	
21	1990). When determining whether "exceptional circumstances" exist, the court must consider	
22	plaintiff's likelihood of success on the merits as well as the ability of the plaintiff to articulate his	
23	claims pro se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. Palmer v. Valdez, 560 F.3d	
24	965, 970 (9th Cir. 2009) (district court did not abuse discretion in declining to appoint counsel).	
25	The burden of demonstrating exceptional circumstances is on the plaintiff. Id. Circumstances	
26	common to most prisoners, such as lack of legal education and limited law library access, do not	
27	establish exceptional circumstances that warrant a request for voluntary assistance of counsel.	
28	Having considered the factors under Palmer, the court finds that plaintiff has failed to	
		1

1	meet his burden of demonstrating exceptional circumstances warranting the appointment of	
2	counsel at this time.	
3	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's request for the appointment of	
4	counsel (ECF No. 58) is denied without prejudice.	
5	Dated: March 18, 2020	Carop U. Delany
6		CAROLYN K. DELANEY
7		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13	12/brow0872.31	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		2
	11	\angle