

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 ROY GARCIA,

12 Petitioner,

13 v.

14 D. BAUGHMAN,

15 Respondent.
16

No. 2:17-cv-0883 GGH P

ORDER

17 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of habeas
18 corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner has not paid the fee or submitted a request to
19 proceed in forma pauperis.

20 The application attacks a conviction issued by the Riverside County Superior Court.
21 Although the claim appears at first blush to reference an error of CDCR in implementing the
22 judgment, the claim actually asserts that an error occurred in the sentencing process. While both
23 this court and the United States District Court in the district where petitioner was convicted have
24 jurisdiction, see Braden v. 30th Judicial Circuit Court, 410 U.S. 484 (1973), any and all witnesses
25 and evidence necessary for the resolution of petitioner's application are more readily available in
26 Riverside County. Id. at 499 n.15; 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d).

27 ///

28 ///

1 Accordingly, in the furtherance of justice, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this matter is
2 transferred to the United States District Court for the Central District of California.

3 DATED: May 1, 2017

4 /s/ Gregory G. Hollows
5 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6
7
8

9 Garcia.883.tfr.CDCA
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28