

1 transfer and consented to plaintiff's request to have the case
2 transferred to the Northern District of California. (Docket No.
3 10.) The court signed a stipulated dismissal of some of
4 plaintiff's claims on June 28, 2017. (Docket No. 12.)

5 "For the convenience of parties and witnesses, a district
6 court may transfer any civil action . . . to any district or
7 division to which all parties have consented." 28 U.S.C. §
8 1404(a). All parties consent to transfer this action and
9 represent that the Northern District will be more convenient
10 because the parties, witnesses, and evidence are in the Northern
11 District, and because a related action was filed first in the
12 Northern District. See Azpeitia v. Tesoro Refining & Marketing
13 Co. LLC, Case No. 3:17-cv-123-JST (N.D. Cal.).

14 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that plaintiff's Motion to
15 transfer venue to the Northern District of California (Docket No.
16 6) be, and the same hereby is, GRANTED.

17 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendant's Motion to
18 dismiss (Docket No. 4) be, and the same hereby is, DENIED AS
19 MOOT.

20 Dated: July 6, 2017



21 **WILLIAM B. SHUBB**
22 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**