1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	KENNETH M. BELL,	No. 2: 17-cv-0949 KJN P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER AND FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	CITY OF VALLEJO, et al.,	RECOMMENDATIONS
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	By an order filed May 12, 2017, this court ordered plaintiff to either file an amended	
18	complaint or complete and return to the court, within thirty days, the USM-285 forms necessary	
19	to effect service on defendants. That thirty day period has since passed, and plaintiff has not	
20	responded in any way to the court's order.	
21	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall assign a district	
22	judge to this action; and	
23	IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See	
24	Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).	
25	These findings and recommendations will be submitted to the United States District Judge	
26	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days	
27	after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections	
28	with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Findings and	
		1

1	Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time
2	may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th
3	Cir. 1991).
4	Dated: June 21, 2017
5	Ferdel & Newman
6	KENDALL J. NEWMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7	
8	Bell949.dis
9	kc
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	2
	Δ