1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DANIEL LEE THORNBERRY,	No. 2:17-CV-0953-TLN-DMC-P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	J. BAL, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff, a prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this civil rights action pursuant to	
18	42 U.S.C. § 1983. Pending before the Court is plaintiff's motion for referral of this case to the	
19	Court's Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) program. <u>See</u> ECF No. 100. Defendants have	
20	filed an opposition. See ECF No. 102. Defendants state in their opposition that they do not	
21	stipulate to referral of the case to the Court's ADR program because they believe the case can be	
22	resolved in their favor on summary judgment. See id. Given the lack of agreement on	
23	participation in the Court's ADR program, plaintiff's motion is denied.	
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
25		
26	Dated: May 1, 2020	State -
27		DENNIS M. COTA
28		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE