

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 JAMES BOWELL,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 KATHLEEN ALLISON, et al.,


15 Defendants.  
16

No. 2:17-cv-0981 KJM KJN P

ORDER

17 On January 10, 2019, plaintiff filed another copy of his December 21, 2018 motion for  
18 reconsideration, claiming it was “updated” on January 4, 2019. However, the only difference  
19 between the two filings is that plaintiff appended an exhibit to his second motion. Moreover, on  
20 January 11, 2019, the district court denied plaintiff’s December 21, 2018 motion as untimely.  
21 The exhibit appended to his second motion does not alter the timeliness of his original motion.  
22 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff’s second motion (ECF No. 25) is denied.  
23 Dated: January 24, 2019

24  
25 /bowe0981.den  
26  
27  
28

  
KENDALL J. NEWMAN  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE